DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 103 951 95 EA 006 867

AUTHOR Ginsburg, Alan L.; Killalea, J. Neil
TITLE Patterns of Federal Aid to School Districts. Technical Analysis Paper.
INSTITUTION Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C. Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.
PUB DATE Feb 75
NOTE 105p.

EDRS PRICE MF-$0.76 HC-$5.70 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS Assessed Valuation; Comparative Analysis; Compensatory Education; *Economic Disadvantagement; Educationally Disadvantaged; Elementary Secondary Education; Equalization Aid; Expenditure Per Student; *Federal Aid; Lunch Programs; *Program Effectiveness; Property Appraisal; *School Districts; State Federal Aid; Tables (Data); *Urbanization

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on the results of an analysis of the distribution of Federal aid to education, an analysis also intended to illuminate the conflicts that can result from allocating funds on the basis of different standards. The study classifies districts on three measures--family income, property wealth, and degree of urbanization--and examines the flow of Federal funds to priority districts in each group. Following an introduction, section 2 examines the methodology used in assessing Federal funding allocations. Sections 3-5 examine the district distribution for three of the major Federal funding categories: Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas (SAFA), and State-Discretionary Federal Grants. Section 6 considers the impact of all Federal elementary and secondary school support in the aggregate, including some relatively small programs not included in the three major program areas. The final section discusses some implications of these analyses for Federal policy. An appendix contains printouts for individual States, for regions, and for the nation, displaying the per-pupil expenditure in detail for each Federal program component. (Author/MLF)

ED103951

Technical Analysis Paper

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

PATTERNS OF FEDERAL AID TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Alan L. Ginsburg
with the assistance of
J. Neil Killalea

February 1975

EA 006 867

The Education Planning Staff
Office of the Assistant Secretary of Planning and Evaluation
U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	Preface	iii
	Summary	1
I.	Introduction	4
II.	Data and Methodology	8
III.	Title I, ESEA: Aid for the Educationally Disadvantaged	19
IV.	School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas	26
V.	Federally Funded State Discretionary Grant Programs	33
VI.	Total Federal Aid to Education	39
VII.	Conclusions: Implications for Federal Policy	43
	Appendix	47

PREFACE

This study was carried out by the staff of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (OASPE), Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. It does not represent an official position of the Department; rather, it is intended to provide background and analytical support for consideration of the effectiveness with which Federal aid to education reaches the school districts of the United States.

Significant contributions, comments, and criticisms were made by Martin Kramer, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation/Education, and by a number of members of the OASPE staff: Kathryn M. Doolin, Nelson Ford, Sheldon L. Gnatt, Gerry L. Hendrickson, Susan Sargen, and Joan L. Turek; and by Charles M. Cooke, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Legislation/Education, and Susan E. Hause of the Department's Legislative Liaison Office. Special acknowledgement and thanks are due to Jane Lee who, under the direction of Ray Smith, Director of Special Services of OASPE, produced the computer analyses that served as the basis for the entire study. Finally, B. J. Stone and others on the staff of the National Planning Association provided essential data on property wealth to supplement the data base.

SUMMARY

This study analyzes the distributional patterns of Federal aid to school districts. The question specifically addressed is whether funds for the major programs areas -- those that accounted for $3.6 billion of the total of $4.1 billion in elementary and secondary education aid in Fiscal Year 1974 -- reach the school districts that are most in need. The question is simply stated, but its analysis is complicated: there is no concensus on a single measure of school district needs that is best for all purposes, and different districts will be identified as needy depending on the measure employed. This study classifies districts on three measures -- by family income, by property wealth, and by degree of urbanization -- and examines the flow of Federal funds to priority districts of each group.

The conclusions of the study are presented first in terms of the individual program areas:

Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) is the largest Federal education program, providing $1,719 million in FY 1974 to support compensatory education for educationally disadvantaged children. Title I aid is intended to be distributed to school districts that have concentrations of poor people. The results show that Title I's intent is implemented, and that districts of lower family income do receive these funds. In States in the more urbanized regions, however, Title I aid goes heavily to some districts -- the cities -- that are relatively property-rich; but in many such districts the

taxes on property must support other costly and extensive services in addition to education.

School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas (SAFA) provided $593 million in FY 1974 to compensate school districts for the education of children whose parents are employed by or live on a Federal installation. As a whole, SAFA funds are aimed at, and received by, school districts of low tax base; are neutral with respect to the family income of a district; and are somewhat more heavily directed to suburban districts than to cities or rural places. The two main components of SAFA are allocated differently:

- The 'A' portion is distinguished by its very heavy allocation to districts of low tax base. In addition, it is directed strongly to districts of lower family income.

- The 'B' part is far less heavily concentrated on low tax base districts, and its funding to districts of higher family income is actually at relatively high rates.

The third major source of Federal funds is the so-called State-Discretionary Federal Grants totaling $773 million in FY 1974 (including $487 million for vocational education). Unlike the Title I and SAFA programs, which are allocated by Federal formula down to the local level, the grants are awarded to State governments, which then determine the allocation to sub-State jurisdictions, following only general Federal guidelines. Concern has been expressed that the States, in allocating these funds, may discriminate against urban areas. The findings fail to confirm this supposition, either at the national or regional level. The State discretionary programs channel funds more

heavily to urban districts in the more urban regions and to rural places only in the more rural regions. This aid is also generally channeled more heavily to low-income districts, which indicates that State allocations are reasonable in terms of this criterion as well. State discretionary funds are directed somewhat more heavily, however, to property-rich than to property-poor districts.

Thus, no one of the major aid programs is allocated in a way that satisfies the needs represented by the three groupings of districts studied: income, property wealth, and degree of urbanization. When these and certain other funds are aggregated, the resulting pattern of total Federal funding shows:

- Overall, an emphasis on low-income districts
- In the more urbanized States, an emphasis on the cities
- In less urbanized States, an emphasis on rural districts.

Thus, in the aggregate, Federal aid to education appears to be quite well targeted on districts disadvantaged by lack of family income or by degree of urbanization; but the aggregate does not target nearly as well on districts disadvantaged by lack of taxable property.

I. INTRODUCTION

State court decisions in some fourteen States have declared unconstitutional those systems of school financing that make the level of education a child receives a function of the wealth of the district in which he lives. In States across the nation, and in the Federal government, attention has been strongly focused on the equity of the distribution of State and local funds to school districts. The distribution of Federal support to education has not yet received the same attention.

Part of the explanation for the lack of attention to Federal aid allocations may lie in the fact that the Federal government is, and traditionally has been, only a marginal participant in direct school finance, providing in FY 1974 only 7.3 percent of all revenues for public elementary and secondary education. Nonetheless, this aid of $4.1 billion* represents a substantial expenditure by anyone's measure, and it is therefore important to know who benefits from this aid and whether these are the beneficiaries intended by the Congress. Moreover, by the nature of the Federal programs, the distribution of support among recipients is not uniform, so that Federal money can comprise a sizable percentage of the overall budget for particular places. Federal dollars, to the extent that they are targeted on national priority areas where unmet needs are great, can have a much greater impact than "regular" education dollars.

---

* Estimates from Digest of Educational Statistics: 1973, U.S. Office of Education, 1974, p. 124.

Moreover, the usefulness of information on the distribution of Federal funds is becoming much more apparent as a result of recent and proposed legislative actions in elementary and secondary education. For instance, it has been proposed to increase the discretionary authority which State governments now have over the allocation of Federal educational funds in certain existing program categories. To assess such proposals, which are also related to the more general issue of a consolidated grant approach to Federal support, it is important to know how equitably the States already use their existing discretionary authority over the allocation of Federal education funds. Also, during hearings that led to the Education Amendments of 1974 (Public Law 93-380) to the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), debate in numerous program areas centered around the adequacy of present allocations in relation to relative district or pupil needs.

An analysis of the distribution of Federal dollars is technically very difficult, however. For some time it has not been possible to carry out a comprehensive analysis of the distribution of Federal aid within States because data was limited;* this is now less of a problem, with the recent availability of data from the Office of Education on

---

* The principal work in this area is a study of Federal aid distribution for school year 1966-1967 in five States. See Federal Aid to Education: Who Benefits?, Joel S. Berke, Stephen K. Bailey, Alan K. Campbell, and Seymour Sacks, U.S. Senate Select Committee on Equal Educational Opportunity, Government Printing Office, 1971. Follow-on studies using the same data base for the analysis of funding flows include: Joel S. Berke, Answers to Inequity: An Analysis of the New School Finance, McCutchan Press, 1974; and Joel S. Berke and Michael W. Kirst, Federal Aid to Education: Who Benefits? Who Governs?, Lexington Books, Washington, D.C., Heath, 1972.

a nationally representative set of school districts which, combined with 1970 Census data, provides much more of the required information.

The more critical difficulties associated with this analysis arise, however, from the fact that the results obtained will vary with the measure used to assess the equity of the distribution of Federal aid to school districts. District needs are measurable in many ways, however, and there is no concensus on a single measure that is most appropriate; indeed, different measures would have to be used to reflect the different purposes of various Federal aid legislation.

More specifically, Federal programs currently fund a diversified set of activities, whose aims range from assisting children with particular educational problems to assisting school districts with particular fiscal problems. In the former case, the provision of Federally supported services is based on the assumption that such services to districts with needy children will help equalize the educational opportunities of recipient students in relation to the children not earmarked for special assistance. In the latter case, the Federal aid is aimed at equalizing the educational opportunities among the districts within States. This latter effort is also consistent with the growing concern of States and State courts over inequities within their school finance systems.* It would be particularly

* For a summary of reforms in ten States that have undergone major alteration in their school finance systems, see Major Changes in School Finance: Statehouse Scorecard, Lucile Musmanno and Alan C. Stauffer, Education Commission of the States, May 1974.

undesirable, therefore, for any program of Federal aid to schools to reinforce existing fiscal inequalities among school districts.

Thus, when the issue of equity arises, it soon becomes obvious that there are various standards, and that a distribution that would be equitable according to one standard can be at variance with the distribution resulting from another standard.

This paper reports on the results of an analysis of the distribution of Federal aid to education, an analysis which it is hoped will also illuminate the conflicts that can result between allocations of Federal funds generated on the basis of these different standards. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section II examines the methodology used by this paper in assessing Federal funding allocations. Sections III, IV, and V examine the district distribution for three of the major Federal funding categories. Section VI considers the impact of all Federal elementary and secondary school support in the aggregate, including some relatively small programs not included in the three major program areas; this section also presents data on school nutrition funding. The final section discusses some implications of these analyses for Federal policy.

An appendix contains printouts for individual States, for regions, and for the nation, displaying the per-pupil expenditure in detail for each Federal program component.

II. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The results of this study of Federal funds are influenced by the analytical procedures adopted by this study in at least three important ways. These include: (1) the ways school districts are to be classified for the purpose of this analysis, (2) the sources of the data used to trace Federal funds, and (3) the level of program and geographic detail shown in the tabular presentation. This section examines the choices made in each of these three areas.*

School districts could be categorized in many ways, such as relative percentage of children from low-income families, percentage of minority children, or average performance on standardized achievement tests. In light of the concerns discussed above and of limitations in available data, three district classifications have been chosen, each of which is indicative of different, although not necessarily wholly unrelated, characteristics of district needs. The primary results of the analysis -- average per-pupil expenditures from specified Federal programs -- are displayed separately for each district classification. The three classifications are:

---

* The present analysis differs in several important respects from those cited previously. First, the sample covers all States except Hawaii and the District of Columbia, which are composed of only a single district. Second, the studies cited used 1967 data for Federal expenditures and used 1960 Census data. The present study uses 1970 data for both. As a result of these and other differences, the present study reaches conclusions as to the adequacy of Federal aid patterns that often are significantly different from those in the studies cited earlier.

- Median family income of the district
- Equalized property value (per pupil) of the district
- Degree of urbanization: center city, suburban, rural

Family income is a proxy measure for district educational performance levels, which cannot presently be directly measured by student test scores in a way that would provide consistent results across the nation and in all types of student groups. Family income is also one measure of a district's ability to finance educational services, both the general education program and special services.* Within this category, districts are divided into three groups, for each of which the average per-pupil Federal expenditure is presented: districts of lowest family income containing 25 percent of the students in each State, those districts containing the next 50 percent, and those containing the remaining 25 percent. The tables that follow also present an average per-pupil expenditure over all districts.

* The particular measure of family income selected for use in this study, that of the median value of family income in a district, is one of several possible choices. The median is widely used (e.g., in the U.S. Census) as an indicator of the income of the typical family in a geographic area. To measure extreme educational deprivation, however, it would probably be preferred to use the proportion of the district's population that is from families below the poverty level. To measure tax capacity by income, on the other hand, it would probably be preferred to use mean family income, since it is the average of total family income within an area. Mean family income is, however, well known to be disproportionately influenced by the income of wealthy families. These comments were suggested by James N. Fox of the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Education.

Equalized property value per pupil is,however, the more commonly used measure of district ability to support education. This index of fiscal capacity reflects the reliance of school districts on the property tax for more than 80 percent of all locally raised school revenues.* The State court findings referred to above are based on the uneven distribution of property wealth among districts. Critics of a property wealth measure of fiscal capacity cite its failure to consider that people ultimately pay taxes from their incomes. They also point to the fact that many cities, in contrast to suburbs and rural areas, must finance from their local tax base not only their educational systems but also a much higher level of non-educational services for all residents (and commuters), a requirement to which a per-pupil property value measure is insensitive. It is this disparity that is sometimes referred to as municipal overburden.** This classification is also considered in three groups: low 25 percent, middle 50 percent, and high 25 percent in terms of equalized property value (EPV) per average daily attendance (ADA). An average is also presented.

The third classification, degree of urbanization, can reflect certain dimensions of need for Federal support that may not be fully

---

* An estimate of 82 percent was calculated for 1971-1972 in Reforming School Finance, Robert D. Reischauer and Robert W. Hartman, The Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C., 1973, p. 6.

** For a discussion of the fiscal disparities between center-city and non-center-city districts, see: City Financial Emergencies: The Intergovernmental Dimension, Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, Washington, D.C., 1973.

reflected by either of the two other criteria, as is suggested by the preceding paragraph. For instance, large cities tend to have many low-income and many relatively high-income families. A measure of average district income may fail to differentiate the cities, with their disproportionate numbers of low-income children with potentially greater educational problems, from other kinds of school districts with the same average income. The city grouping is also significant for those concerned that the property value measure overstates the ability of cities to finance education because of the issue of "overburden" on the tax base mentioned above. Moreover, districts that differ in degree of urbanization also, as will be seen, differ in the degree to which they require different types of Federal service. In this study, districts are distinguished in the tables, following U.S. Census definitions, as to whether they are primarily a center city; a suburb, defined as any part of a metropolitan area that is not a center city; or a non-metropolitan area (referred to as rural for convenience, even though the category includes some urbanized non-metropolitan areas). Within the center-city grouping, the largest center city in each State is reported separately.

The data to analyze the flow of Federal funds to districts for these three groupings is the combined "1970 Census Fourth Count -- 1970 Elementary-Secondary General Information Survey (ELSEGIS)" prepared by the U.S. Office of Education. This data base combines socio-economic characteristics of districts developed from the U.S. Census

with staffing and financing information from the Office of Education's survey of school districts. The sample consists of 5065 school districts, selected from each of the 50 States and including the District of Columbia; for this study, Hawaii and the District of Columbia are omitted, since each is a single school district. The district equalized property values that are necessary for developing the classification of districts by wealth base per pupil were missing from these data sets and were obtained by a special data collection for all but six States.*

Survey data on school finances more current than 1970 were not available on a nationally representative basis. The current patterns of funding have been altered as a result of the passage of the Education Amendments of 1974. Although some of the changes are important for specific districts, the general underlying criteria for allocations (e.g., low income, presence of children of Federal employees, etc.) have remained the same in all cases. The 1970 data should be of sufficient accuracy for this study, which deals only with general allocative patterns of Federal funding for groups of particular types of districts.

---

* The six States are: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Louisiana, Minnesota, and Wyoming. Since the subset of districts used for analyzing distributions by property wealth is less than the full sample, the table rows displaying the averages over the districts will differ somewhat between the subset and the full set.

Table I lists Federal expenditures for elementary and secondary education* for fiscal years 1970 and 1974. Three program components, numbered 1 through 3 on the table, have been singled out for special study because of their significance in the Federal budget and/or because of their importance for future Federal policy development. These programs are:

(1) Title I, ESEA. This is the largest of all Federal programs at $1,719 million in 1974. It is also of utmost importance for national educational policy because it is the principal program of Federal support to equalize the opportunities of educationally disadvantaged children.

(2) School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas (SAFA): This second largest program of Federal aid to education, $593.4 million in FY 1974, supplies general-purpose support to school districts to offset diminished tax revenues resulting from the presence of non-taxable Federal property in their tax base.

(3) State Discretionary Programs: This category, totaling $773.4 million in FY 1974, includes all major programs in which, unlike ESEA and SAFA, Federal aid is directly allocated only to State-level governments,

* The total of $3.8 billion shown in Table I differs from the figure of $4.1 billion quoted in the Introduction. The difference is partly accounted for by the fact that the higher figure includes some programs, such as Head Start, that are not clearly elementary and secondary education as usually defined. In addition, Table I lists actual figures, while the Office of Education Digest, referenced earlier, contained estimates.

TABLE I

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES FOR ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION AID FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND TOTAL: FY 1970 and FY 1974 ($ MILLIONS)

Federal Programs	1970	1974
1. Educationally Disadvantaged Children (Title I, ESEA)	1339.1	1719.3
2. School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas	520.6	593.4
3. State Discretionary Federal Programs		
. Vocational Education	376.3	486.7
. School Libraries (Title II, ESEA)	42.5	90.3
. Supplementary Services		
Title III, ESEA	111.8	146.4
NDEA, Title V	19.0	
. Equipment and Minor Remodeling (NDEA, Title III)	37.2	50.0
SUBTOTAL	586.8	773.4
4. All Other Programs in ELSEGIS Sample 1/	85.5	471.7
SUBTOTAL 1-4 (Aid for school districts)	2532.0	3557.8
5. Other Elementary and Secondary 2/	287.3	235.4
TOTAL (Elementary and Secondary)	$2819.3	$3793.2

1/ Other programs of aid to school districts include Education of the Handicapped, Dropout Prevention, Bilingual Education, Emergency School Aid, Vocational Education Research, Follow Through, Drug Abuse, Environmental Education, Nutrition and Health, and Right to Read.

2/ Other programs of aid to elementary and secondary education include strengthening State Departments of Education, Education Research and Professional Development, Research and Training, and Civil Rights Advisory Services. These programs are not included in the present analysis.

with the State having discretion over the allocation of funds to its districts, subject only to general Federal approval. Included in this category are vocational education (State grant portion); Title II, ESEA (Libraries); Title III, ESEA (supplementary education centers); NDEA, Title III (equipment and minor remodeling); NDEA, Title V-A (guidance, counseling, and testing). Whether the States have used their discretionary authority responsibly in the allocation of these funds, particularly with respect to the cities, is a much debated issue.

In addition to these three major breakouts, Federal aid to education includes a number of other minor appropriation categories. The various programs of aid to school districts that are indicated in item 4 of Table I are included in the ELSEGIS data base for 1970. As can be seen, these amounted to only $85.5 million in 1970, but increased to $471.7 million in 1974. Further study would be needed to determine the degree to which any of the results of this study might be affected by differences in how these funds were distributed in 1974, compared with 1970.

Table I also shows, as item 5, other programs of education aid, most of which are expended at the State rather than at the district level. These programs are not included in the ELSEGIS data base; but

the effect of their omission is minimal, since this study is concerned with the distribution of Federal funds to school districts.

Finally, school districts also receive Federal funds for school lunch and special breakfast programs. Although child nutrition is a major funding category, $408 million in 1974,* it is not a program of direct educational support, but rather a type of income support program in which the school is a convenient place for service delivery. School lunch funds are included in this study, therefore, only as a program separate from direct educational support.**

The Appendix contains, for each State, the breakouts of Federal funds among selected program areas and by type of district. Tables for individual States are excessively detailed for the development of broad patterns of Federal impact by type of district. On the other hand, assessing only national impacts would be excessively broad, masking important differences in fiscal impact that can arise. The present analysis represents a compromise between the State and national extremes, by examining data on Federal funding in detail for each of the four principal U.S. Census geographic regions: Northeast, Middle West, South, and West, defined as follows:

---

* The Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 1974, Appendix, Office of Management and Budget, p. 206, includes school lunch ($348 million) and school breakfast programs ($60 million) in the $408 million.

** This is in contrast to the previously cited studies of five States in 1966-1967, which treat the school lunch and milk category as part of the State discretionary grant programs. See, for example, Answers to Inequity, p. 135.

NORTHEAST	MIDWEST	SOUTH	WEST
Maine	Ohio	Delaware	Arkansas
New Hampshire	Indiana	Maryland	Louisiana
Vermont	Illinois	District of Columbia *	Oklahoma
Massachusetts	Michigan	Virginia	Texas
Rhode Island	Wisconsin	West Virginia	Montana
Connecticut	Minnesota	North Carolina	Idaho
New York	Iowa	South Carolina	Wyoming
New Jersey	Missouri	Georgia	Colorado
Pennsylvania	North Dakota	Florida	New Mexico
	South Dakota	Kentucky	Arizona
	Nebraska	Tennessee	Utah
	Kansas	Alabama	Nevada
		Mississippi	Washington
			Oregon
			California
			Alaska
			Hawaii *

* Not included in this study

The tables in this summary paper contain regional and national groupings which are generated by combining, for all the States in a region, all districts of a particular classification. For instance, a region's breakout of districts in the low 25 percent of property value would include all districts so classified within each of the States in that region. Average Federal expenditures per pupil are then computed for each region and the nation, weighted by the number of pupils in each district.*

---

* Mathematically, the average per-pupil Federal expenditure, f, for a particular district classification, D, in a particular region, R, is calculated as:

$$f_{D,R} = \sum_{s \in R} \sum_{d \in D,s} f_d \frac{A_d}{A_{D,R}}$$

where

D = a particular district classification (e.g., low 25 percent in terms of family income)

d = a particular district ($d \in D$ specifies that district d is one of the group of districts, D)

f_d = average Federal grant per pupil to district d

R = the specified region

s = a State ($s \in R$ specifies that State s is in region R)

A = average daily attendance (thus, $A_{D,R}$ is the total average daily attendance in all the districts in the classification D in region R)

III. TITLE I, ESEA: AID FOR THE EDUCATIONALLY DISADVANTAGED

Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) provides funds intended to help those children who come to the educational environment bearing a disadvantage relative to the general student population. Under the criteria of the Title, the eligible children for purposes of funding are those who come from low-income families, those whose families receive Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), and those whose education is managed by the States -- migrant, handicapped, and neglected and delinquent children. Since the primary aim of this study is to examine the distribution of funds to districts of varying kinds, the State-managed Title I programs are not included in the present analysis.

It is sometimes noted that children from low-income families are not necessarily educationally disadvantaged, and that children from homes of moderate or high wealth also can have disadvantages in the classroom. The use of a low-income allocation criterion in the Title I program for the educationally disadvantaged is justified, however, on two counts. First, it serves as a proxy measure for educational need, which presently is not measurable through more direct means, such as test scores, in a way that could be applied consistently throughout the nation. Studies* have shown, however, that low income explains between 50 and 60 percent of the variance in student test scores; there is therefore an important relationship

* For example, see Evaluation of Educational Achievement Test Measures as an Eligibility Criterion in the Better Schools Act Formula, Educational Testing Service, 1973, prepared for the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, HEW.

between low income and educational disadvantage, even though a sizable variance remains to be explained by other than economic causes, which is to say that some educationally disadvantaged children come from wealthier homes. The second point is that children who are both educationally and economically disadvantaged could well be considered as a special Federal priority; the rationale is that the poor generally have less opportunity for preschool education or for remedial assistance outside the classroom once they have begun school.

The Title I grant entitlement is calculated to the county level on the basis of relative concentrations of children from low-income and AFDC families. (Data is not available at the national level on the distribution of eligible children in counties containing two or more school districts; accordingly, in these cases the State divides the county grants using the best data available to it on the distribution of eligible children.) The current formula, incorporated in the 1974 Amendments, differs in several respects* from the formula used in FY 1970, the year for which data is used in the present study.

---

* The formula used in 1970 counted as eligible all children, ages 5-17, from families of annual income below $2,000 based on 1960 Census figures, and children from families receiving AFDC payments of $2,000 or more. The 1974 Amendments replaced the flat $2,000 income criterion with the official Census definition of poverty (the Orshansky poverty line), which varies by family size (it equaled $3,750 for a non-rural family of four in the 1970 Census year). The 1974 Amendments also reduce the AFDC children counted to two-thirds of the children from families receiving AFDC payments in excess of the poverty level. The FY 1970 formula multiplied the number of eligible children in each county by a factor of one-half the State or one-half the national per pupil expenditures, whichever was higher for each State. The rate of payment per pupil was uniform within each State as a result of the earlier formula; the new formula obtains a similar effect by somewhat different means.

Unpublished analyses* for a sample set of school districts have not shown, however, any particular type of district significantly helped or harmed by the new formula allocations relative to previous allocations. This also suggests that the overall patterns of allocations shown in this study are likely to be applicable to the new provisions.

The flow of Title I funds to local school districts is shown in Table II for the four regions and for the country as a whole. It is seen from the table that Title I funds are concentrated most heavily on districts of lowest family income. In all four regions and in the nation as a whole, these districts are funded at a per-pupil rate at least four times the rate of districts in the highest income category. The ratio of payments to low- versus high-income districts is nearly 7:1 in the Northeast, and is nearly 5:1 nationally. These patterns of distributions are the expected result of the low-income criterion of payment used in the Title I formula.

The table also shows, however, that in many parts of the country Title I funds are much less concentrated in the districts with low tax base than in the districts of low income. For States of the Northeast, the average rate of Title I payments to districts with the lowest equalized property value per pupil is only one-third of the per pupil rate paid to the highest. In the Midwest and the West, Title I grants are distributed nearly uniformly across districts of all tax bases. Only in the Southern States does a strong positive association

* By the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation and by the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress in support of hearings on the Education Amendments of 1974.

TABLE II: AVERAGE TITLE I, ESEA EXPENDITURES, BY REGION AND TYPE OF DISTRICT, 1970 ($ PER PUPIL)

DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION	NATIONAL	NORTHEAST	SOUTH	MIDWEST	WEST
Median Family Income					
Low - 25%	39.9	37.1	68.2	22.8	36.6
Middle - 50%	22.8	27.1	30.9	18.0	18.0
High - 25%	8.2	5.7	15.6	5.2	7.3
Average	23.5	24.2	36.4	16.0	20.0
Equalized Property Value Per ADA:					
Low - 25%	22.9	13.4	50.4	12.9	19.0
Middle - 50%	21.9	22.0	33.0	16.9	17.9
High - 25%	24.2	40.2	24.7	15.8	18.6
Average	22.7	24.4	35.3	15.6	18.4
Degree of Urbanization:					
Center City	32.6	64.0	29.4	26.1	20.0
Largest	41.9	79.3	31.1	33.3	21.3
Suburban *	11.0	9.8	17.7	7.6	11.5
Non-Metro **	31.7	13.8	49.6	18.8	31.9
Average	23.5	24.2	36.4	16.0	20.0

* Includes all non-center-city metropolitan areas.
** Includes rural and all other non-metropolitan areas.

SOURCE: U.S. Census-ELSEGIS 1970 Data Base (Supplemented)

between Title I payments and district tax base appear. In the South, the rate of Title I payments per pupil to low tax base districts is twice that to the highest. When the data is aggregated for the nation as a whole, these regional differences disappear, and it appears that Title I funds are distributed nearly uniformly across districts of different tax base.

The pattern of distribution of Title I grants to districts of different urban types also varies markedly by region of the country, as seen in the last part of Table II. Among States in the Northeast, Title I grants in 1970 were concentrated heavily on districts serving center-city areas. The per-pupil payment in center cities is almost five times that in rural districts and more than six times that in the suburbs; and in the largest cities the per-pupil rate is eight times that of suburban areas, reflecting the dominance of New York City. The general pattern of Title I funding in the Midwest is not unlike that in the Northeast, except in degree: Center cities are funded at almost three and one-half times the rate of the suburbs, and at half again the rate of rural areas. Just as the Northeast and the Midwest exhibit similar patterns of Title I funding, the South and the West share a pattern of distribution (a pattern that is quite different from that shared by the Northeast and the Midwest). Rural districts in the South and the West dominate the allocations, being funded at one and one-half times the rate in the center cities and at three times the rate in suburban areas. When the data are aggregated across the nation as a whole, center cities and rural areas receive

about equal per-pupil rates, which are about three times the rate received in suburban areas.

As is clear from the table, the impact of Title I in terms of district income is quite different from its impact in terms of district tax base. It should also be noted that these differences are related to the degree of district urbanization. Districts serving center-city areas tend to be richer in property per-pupil than districts in other parts of a State, although many such cities contain high percentages of children from low-income families and are heavy recipients of Title I funds. This occurs particularly in the major urban centers in the Northeast and accounts for the strong correlation between Title I funding rates and property wealth of districts in that part of the country. In the Midwest and West, Title I money is somewhat more evenly distributed among center-city and rural districts. Additionally, rural districts in the Midwest and the West are often rich agricultural farm lands and are not as property poor relative to the cities as are the rural districts in the Northeast. Finally, Title I funding in the South reflects the concentration of low-income families in rural districts that are also comparatively poor on a property criterion. Hence, only in the South is there a strong inverse correlation between Title I funding and district wealth base.

These results have an important bearing for both Federal and State finance policies. In the drive to equalize educational opportunities, it is necessary to consider whether the aim is to equalize educational opportunities of fiscally poor districts or economically poor families.

Our analysis thus far has been of a Federal program designed to equalize the educational opportunities of poor families. Many States are undertaking extensive revision in their school finance systems, focusing primarily on patterns of inequities resulting from differences in local property wealth. Although the well-known Serrano ruling in the California State Supreme Court claims that property-based financing discriminates against children in low tax base districts, the results in Table II suggest that, in some parts of the country, the lowest tax base districts may not contain the children who are neediest by the criterion of family income. Thus, concentration of all equalizing efforts on property-poor districts could well fail to provide equal opportunities to children in income-poor districts. This kind of result confirms the caution expressed in the Introduction, that a distribution that is equitable under one standard can create inequities when judged from another standard.

IV. SCHOOL ASSISTANCE IN FEDERALLY AFFECTED AREAS (SAFA)

The SAFA program, under Public Laws 81-874 and 81-815, provides funds to districts in relation to the number of children within a district from families who reside or work on Federal property. One justification for SAFA support is to assure an adequate level of educational services for children of Federal employees, but the rationale expressed most widely for this program is to compensate districts for lost tax revenues because of the presence of non-taxable Federal property in their tax base.*

The payment of Federal support to a district because it provides education for children whose parents are employed by the Federal government has been controversial, almost from the initial enactment of this legislation in 1950. Each Administration since that of Eisenhower has proposed cutbacks in the SAFA budget and each has seen its proposals rejected by Congress. Part of the popularity of this program is attributable to its broad distribution among Congressional districts, and part to the fact that it is general-purpose aid which the recipient school districts can use as they see fit. Critics of the program cite as justification for its reduction that Federal installations, far from constituting a burden, are often highly prized as being beneficial to the overall economic health of the communities in which they are located. As evidence of these benefits, certain areas of high

* The rationales for the SAFA program are examined in: School Assistance in Federally Affected Areas, A Study of Public Laws 81-874 and 81-815, The Battelle Memorial Institute, for the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives, Ninety-First Congress, Second Session, 1970.

Federal impact are frequently pointed to as among the wealthiest places in the country.* The complex problem of estimating the net impact of Federal installations on particular districts is beyond the scope of this analysis. This study does, however, address the issue of the relative needs of the districts that receive SAFA.

Most SAFA payments, about 88 percent, are made to local districts under either Section 3A ('A' payments) for children who reside on Federal property with a parent employed on Federal property; or Section 3B ('B' payments) for children with a parent employed on Federal property but who do not reside on Federal property. Each SAFA 'B' child is counted for purposes of payment as one-half that of an 'A' child, since the 'A' child represents, in theory, a double loss of property to the district through place of employment and residence, while the 'B' child represents a loss only in place of employment. The rate of payment is determined by a complex set of criteria that reflect national, State, or local per-pupil expenditures, whichever is the greater.**

The district-level distribution of SAFA 'A' and 'B' payments and the total SAFA payments,*** which include several other minor components

---

* The school districts surrounding Washington, D.C., for example, are heavy recipients of SAFA funding. Among these districts are some of the districts with the highest average income in the country, including Arlington, Virginia, and Montgomery County, Maryland.

** For the specific formula, see P.L. 93-380, Title III.

*** The column marked "Total SAFA" includes, in addition to 874A and B, other 874 funds as well as funds from P.L. 81-815. The breakdown of total SAFA funds into A, B, and other 874 funds is based on data received from the Office of Education.

of the program, are presented in Table III for the regional and national breakouts shown in the previous section. Overall, the distribution of SAFA 'A' payments appears to coincide relatively closely with district needs expressed by either the income or the tax base criterion. In all four regions, 'A' grants are concentrated heavily on low tax base districts. Nationally, the rate of SAFA 'A' payments between low to high tax base districts is in the ratio of 8:1.

In the West, a region of heavy 'A' payments, and in the Northeast, SAFA 'A' funds are concentrated on districts of low family incomes. A more uniform distribution of 'A' grants across district income classes occurs in the South and the Midwest, the two regions in which per-pupil rates are lowest. The national distribution shows that, in the aggregate, SAFA 'A' funds are channeled heavily to districts of low income, with a ratio of nearly 6:1 between low- and high-income districts, reflecting the proportion of total 'A' funding going to the Western region with its heavy concentration of Federal property. Additionally, school districts located in the suburbs or rural places generally receive the highest per-pupil 'A' funding, presumably because Federal installations in these areas more frequently house those they employ than do installations in the cities.

In contrast, payments under the 'B' part of the program do not result in funding to needy districts to the same degree as do 'A' payments, using either the income or the property wealth criterion. The 'B' rate of compensation is somewhat higher in low than in high tax

TABLE III: AVERAGE SAFA EXPENDITURES BY REGION AND TYPE OF DISTRICT, 1970
($ PER PUPIL)

DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION	NATIONAL			NORTHEAST			SOUTH			MIDWEST			WEST		
	SAFA 874 A	SAFA 874 B	Total SAFA	SAFA 874 A	SAFA 874 B	Total SAFA	SAFA 874 A	SAFA 874 B	Total SAFA	SAFA 874 A	SAFA 874 B	Total SAFA	SAFA 874 A	SAFA 874 B	To SA
Median Family Income															
Low - 25%	4.1	4.9	9.7	3.2	4.7	8.0	0.5	3.6	4.4	1.6	2.6	4.9	9.9	8.2	1
Middle - 50%	1.7	7.8	10.3	0.8	4.0	4.9	0.3	10.9	12.0	0.8	4.1	6.0	4.2	11.7	1
High - 25%	0.7	7.6	9.4	0.2	2.8	3.1	0.5	13.5	15.5	1.2	3.5	5.1	0.7	10.5	1
Average	2.0	7.0	9.9	1.2	3.9	5.2	0.4	9.7	11.0	1.1	3.6	5.5	4.7	10.5	1
Equalized Property Value Per ADA:															
Low - 25%	5.0	9.0	15.9	3.1	4.6	8.0	0.9	10.8	13.2	3.9	4.8	9.6	11.1	15.5	1
Middle - 50%	0.7	7.2	8.7	0.8	3.9	4.7	0.4	10.1	11.5	0.2	3.9	5.2	1.3	10.9	1
High - 25%	0.6	5.0	5.9	0.3	3.0	3.3	0.1	8.7	8.9	0.1	2.4	3.0	1.7	6.5	
Average	1.7	7.1	9.8	1.2	3.9	5.2	0.4	9.9	11.3	1.1	3.8	5.8	3.8	11.0	1
Degree of Urbanization															
Center City	0.8	7.7	9.2	1.5	3.8	5.3	0.0	11.2	12.3	0.0	4.5	5.3	1.4	10.8	1
Largest	0.3	5.7	6.7	0.6	3.4	3.9	0.0	8.5	8.5	0.0	5.5	6.4	0.3	6.4	
Suburban *	2.9	8.3	12.7	0.5	3.8	4.4	0.5	16.5	18.3	0.7	3.7	5.6	8.9	12.4	2
Non-Metro **	1.8	5.0	7.6	2.6	4.3	6.9	0.5	5.3	6.3	2.3	2.8	5.4	2.7	7.5	1
Average	2.0	7.0	9.9	1.2	3.9	5.2	0.4	9.7	11.0	1.1	3.6	5.5	4.7	10.5	1

* Includes all non-center-city metropolitan areas

** Includes rural and all other non-metropolitan areas.

SOURCE: U.S. Census-ELSEGIS 1970 Data Base (Supplemented)

base districts of each region and natonally, but not to the extent of the 'A' program. The rate of 'B' payments between low and high tax base districts is in the ratio of less than 2:1 nationally compared to 8:1 for 'A's. There is also a sharp contrast between the patterns of 'A' and 'B' funding when viewed by districts classified according to family income. Nationally and in all regions, 'A' funding was applied heavily to low-income districts; but 'B' payments, nationally and in every region except the Northeast, went most predominately to districts in the middle 50 percent and the highest 25 percent in terms of family income. Whether Federal installations in these districts are a boon or a burden cannot be determined from these data, but there is no evidence that the districts that receive 'B' payments because of Federal employment are disadvantaged on the basis of family income.

With the exception of the Western suburbs, the cities and suburbs of each region fare much better relative to rural areas under the 'B' part of the program, where the eligibility criterion is place of employment and not residency, than under the 'A' part.

Much of the effort at reforming SAFA has been limited to the 'B' part of the program, consistent with the results of the above flow of funds analysis that found the 'B' payments going at higher rates per pupil to districts of more questionable needs. Because of the residency requirement of the 'A' program, a high proportion of its eligibles is found on large military installations in less densely

populated areas where the Federal installation is a significant factor in creating a lower tax base for the area.

One of the major forces opposing cutbacks in 'B' funding is the cities, which argue that, because they receive relatively low funding under the 'A' category, they should receive 'B' program funding at existing or even higher levels. To argue in this way, however, is to consider only a part of the problem of allocating Federal aid to education. For instance, as was seen in the preceding section, Title I funds are distributed much more heavily to cities than to suburbs or rural areas. This distribution pattern is consistent, however, with the purpose of Title I and with the relatively greater concentrations of needy children in cities. More generally, this leads to the observation that the most rational and efficient allocations of Federal funds are achieved through programs that aim directly, rather than indirectly, at target populations or goals.

The potential of the SAFA program to contribute to the equalization of education opportunities across school districts has been highlighted recently as a consequence of new legislative provisions that allow States to use SAFA funding as an offset to their own equalization aid.* Previously, many districts received a "double payment" for their "lost" tax base -- once from Federal support from SAFA and a second time from the State as part of their State's equalization aid

---

* Specifically, P.L. 93-380, Title III states: "If a State has in effect a program of State aid for free public education for any fiscal year, which is designed to equalize expenditures..., payments under this title for any fiscal year may be taken into consideration by such State in determining the relative...financial resources available to local educational agencies in that State..."

program. To be eligible to use this offset, State programs of aid now must be "designed to equalize expenditures" according to criteria established by the Commissioner of Education. The legislation does not, however, specify the criteria that should be applied as the standard of State equalization. Property wealth and family income are both supportable choices, but programs acceptable on one criterion may, as prior results demonstrate, fail in many States to meet the other.

V. FEDERALLY FUNDED STATE DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAMS

Although the Federal government determines the distribution of Title I and SAFA payments down to a local or sub-State level, many other Federalprograms control the grant distribution only to the State level, and the States then determine the proper further allocation of funds to districts within the State, subject only to general Federal approval. The programs in which State discretionary funding is currently permitted tend to provide functional support for selected educational activities rather than support for a particular target population, such as Title I, ESEA for the educationally disadvantaged. A presumed advantage of this procedure is that States, knowing better than the Federal government the relative needs of their districts, can arrive at better allocations. How satisfactory the procedure is depends on the degree to which each State exercises its discretionary authority in a responsible manner.

The programs considered in this grouping are divided for purposes of this study into grants for vocational education and "Other" discretionary grants for elementary and secondary education. The vocational education program is displayed separately, because it is currently the third largest program of Federal support, comprising $486.7 million in FY 1974. The "Other" category of the table includes grants totaling $286.7 million in FY 1974 for auxiliary services, covering Title II, ESEA - libraries; Title III, ESEA - supplementary educational services for innovation; Title III of the National Defense Educational

Act (NDEA) for strengthening instruction in critical subjects; and Title V-A, NDEA for guidance and counseling.* This "Other" grouping is consistent with the fact that new legislation will consolidate the above four activities into a single grant authority effective in FY 1976.

The governments of a number of States have been perceived by many observers as dominated by the interests of rural areas, relative to urban or suburban areas. One of the principal concerns, therefore, regarding this category of State discretionary programs has been the potential for States to discriminate against urban areas in their allocations. This concern has been widely expressed as one argument against any further shift of funding authority from the Federal to the State level through an educational revenue-sharing type of authority.** As evidence in support of this concern, some have cited the historical patterns of school aid in many States that are said to have favored rural over urban districts. It should be noted, however, that a thorough evaluation of the equity of the distribution of school aid cannot rest on a simple comparison of total dollars allocated, but would have to take into account such factors as the relatively lower levels of taxable property base available per pupil to support education in many rural districts.

---

* Grants for the education of the handicapped, totaling some $47.5 million in FY 1974, should also be counted in the "Other" category of State discretionary money. These grants do not appear separately in the ELSEGIS data base, however, and are therefore not included in Table IV. These funds are included in the Summary Table (next section).

** The recent 1974 Amendments to ESEA cited above represent a consolidation of some grant authorities of State discretionary programs that are consistent with a revenue-sharing philosophy.

Table IV shows the distribution of the State grant programs by the district breakouts previously used: median family income, equalized property value, and degree of urbanization. These breakouts make it possible to test the thesis of center-city discrimination in the States' grant allocations, and also permit an assessment of the allocations by other criteria. In making this assessment, some plausible assumptions exist as to, generally, which types of districts need which group of services, although it may not be apparent how to measure the relative magnitude of needs among districts. Vocational educational services might be thought to be most needed among children in lower income districts, whose populations have traditionally not entered the white collar occupations, and in rural districts, where manual trade occupations are of greater economic importance. The auxiliary services funded under the "all other" category could be justified for any of the three district groupings. For instance, books (Title II, ESEA) and equipment (NDEA, Title III) might be needed by the suburbs where fast growth has often not permitted auxiliary services to catch up, by the older cities which require replacement of older educational materials, and by rural areas where such materials may be considered to be prohibitively expensive in view of the small population served. The innovative programs under Title III, ESEA could be justified for any group of districts, although the less entrenched bureaucracy in the newer suburban areas might show a greater openness to innovation.

TABLE IV - AVERAGE STATE DISCRETIONARY EXPENDITURES, BY REGION AND TYPE OF DISTRICT, 1970 ($ PER PUPIL)

District Classification	NATIONAL			NORTHEAST			SOUTH			MIDWEST			WEST	
	Voc.Ed.	Other	Total	Voc.Ed.	Other	Total	Voc.Ed.	Other	Total	Voc.Ed.	Other	Total	Voc.Ed.	Other
Median Family Income														
Low - 25%	4.3	3.9	8.2	2.5	4.6	7.0	8.2	4.4	12.6	4.5	3.7	8.3	2.6	3.1
Middle - 50%	3.1	4.5	7.7	1.8	4.7	6.4	4.6	4.8	9.3	3.5	4.8	8.3	2.8	3.9
High - 25%	2.0	4.0	6.0	0.6	3.2	3.8	3.2	4.8	8.0	2.4	4.6	7.0	1.7	3.4
Average	3.2	4.2	7.4	1.6	4.3	5.9	5.1	4.7	9.8	3.5	4.5	8.0	2.5	3.6
Equalized Property Value Per ADA:														
Low - 25%	2.5	3.7	6.2	1.2	3.2	4.4	4.9	4.4	9.3	2.3	3.7	6.0	2.0	3.4
Middle - 50%	3.1	4.4	7.4	1.4	4.4	5.7	5.5	5.0	10.6	3.3	4.9	8.2	2.3	3.4
High - 25%	3.3	4.2	7.5	2.3	5.3	7.6	3.5	4.4	8.0	4.3	4.5	8.9	2.8	2.9
Average	3.0	4.2	7.1	1.6	4.3	5.9	4.9	4.7	9.6	3.3	4.5	7.8	2.3	3.3
Degree of Urbanization:														
Center City	4.0	5.3	9.3	3.4	7.0	10.4	4.9	3.6	8.6	5.0	6.7	11.6	3.2	4.0
Largest	3.9	5.6	9.4	3.5	6.4	9.9	4.5	3.3	7.8	5.2	7.5	12.8	2.6	4.3
Suburban *	2.0	3.6	5.5	0.9	3.3	4.2	3.7	4.7	8.5	2.2	3.9	6.1	1.7	2.8
Non-metro **	4.0	4.2	8.2	1.5	3.6	5.1	6.0	5.0	11.0	3.9	3.6	7.5	2.7	4.2
Average	3.2	4.2	7.4	1.6	4.3	5.9	5.1	4.7	9.8	3.5	4.5	8.0	2.5	3.6

* Includes all non-center-city metropolitan areas

** Includes rural and all other non-metropolitan areas.

SOURCE: U.S. Census/ELSEGIS 1970 Data Base (Supplemented)

The results of Table IV fail to support a hypothesis of State discrimination against center-city districts in allocations of Federal funds. Nationally and for each region except the South, the cities, including the largest cities in each State, are funded at higher than the average per-pupil rates. This is true for both the vocational education program and the "Other" State discretionary program categories. In the heavily urbanized region of the Northeast and in the relatively urban Midwest, the cities are funded at about twice the per-pupil rate of the suburbs or rural districts. The advantage that generally applies to cities is not as great in the less urban Western region.

In general, rural districts fare considerably better than the suburbs in the distribution of vocational education monies. Suburbs and rural areas receive comparable allocations of auxiliary service support comprising the "Other" category, except in the West, where suburbs receive markedly less. These relationships are consistent with the generally lower income population in rural areas and the special circumstances in the newer, fast-growth suburbs.

By directing funding to urban places in the more urban regions and to rural places in the more rural regions, the State allocations are also related to the relative income levels in the various districts. The rate of aid to low-income districts relative to high-income districts is higher in the Northeast and Southern parts of the country than in the Midwest or West. This is the result of generally higher support under the vocational education program to low-income places.

Finally, property-rich districts generally receive more State discretionary funds per pupil than property-poor districts. Although the differences are not large, the positive association between level of funding and district tax capacity again illustrates the conflicts among different funding criteria.

VI. TOTAL FEDERAL AID TO EDUCATION

The three program areas examined in the preceding sections account for more than 80 percent of Federal elementary and secondary education support to school districts in FY 1974. We now wish to examine the implications of Federal support in the aggregate. In order to do so, it is appropriate to consider also two other kinds of support:

- The programs shown as item 4 of Table I provide support in a variety of ways (see the footnotes in Table I for a listing). In FY 1970 the total in these programs was $85.5 million; in FY 1974 the total came to $471.7 million.

- Schools also receive considerable assistance from the Federal government to assist in improving the nutrition of school children, through the school lunch and milk programs. Although an adequate lunch can have a positive effect on the educational performance of a child who would otherwise have to do without, the Federal programs are more appropriately considered as support of income rather than of education. These funds are included in this report for purposes of completeness; but they are treated as a category separate from direct education assistance.

The Summary Table thus represents the total of these funds. Again, it presents per-pupil Federal spending for the four regions and for the nation as a whole. The column headed Total Federal Education is the sum of the three program areas and the miscellaneous programs discussed immediately above (those included in item 4 of Table I). The column headed School Lunch includes the various nutritional programs discussed above.

The aggregate distributions of funds per pupil, both inclusive and exclusive of the school lunch program, are heavily directed to

SUMMARY TABLE: AVERAGE FEDERAL EDUCATION AND SCHOOL LUNCH EXPENDITURES, BY REGION AND TYPE OF DISTRICT, 1970
($ PER PUPIL)

District Classification	NATION			NORTHEAST			SOUTH			MIDWEST			WEST		
	Total Fed. Education	School Lunch	Total Fed. & School Lunch	Total Fed. Education	School Lunch	Total Fed. & School Lunch	Total Fed. Education	School Lunch	Total Fed. & School Lunch	Total Fed. Education	School Lunch	Total Fed. & School Lunch	Total Fed. Education	School Lunch	Total Fed & School Lunch
Median Family Income															
Low - 25%	69.8	11.1	80.9	62.2	3.0	65.2	96.6	18.5	115.2	43.5	8.6	52.1	79.8	13.9	93.7
Middle - 50%	51.2	8.0	59.2	48.0	2.6	50.6	61.4	12.5	73.9	42.7	7.1	49.8	53.9	9.4	63.3
High - 25%	28.6	6.5	35.0	14.1	2.6	16.7	52.0	10.2	62.2	19.4	5.6	25.0	30.3	7.3	37.6
Average	50.2	8.4	58.6	43.1	2.7	45.8	67.9	13.4	81.3	37.1	7.1	44.2	54.5	10.0	64.4
Equalized Property Value Per ADA:															
Low - 25%	53.0	8.9	61.9	28.9	3.0	31.9	82.2	15.3	97.5	32.3	7.6	39.9	70.7	10.0	80.7
Middle - 50%	47.9	8.0	55.9	39.0	2.6	41.6	68.0	13.1	81.1	40.1	6.7	46.7	47.2	9.8	56.9
High - 25%	48.0	6.0	56.0	66.3	2.5	68.7	50.0	11.9	61.9	34.9	7.1	42.0	43.9	10.5	54.4
Average	49.2	8.2	57.4	43.3	2.7	46.0	67.1	13.3	80.4	36.8	7.0	43.8	52.2	10.0	62.2
Degree of Urbanization:															
Center City	68.0	7.7	75.7	103.3	2.0	105.3	61.8	11.8	73.6	62.2	6.8	69.0	54.0	10.1	64.0
Largest	76.6	6.0	82.6	117.2	1.3	118.5	59.5	12.2	71.7	75.2	7.5	82.7	50.0	5.5	55.5
Suburban *	34.3	6.4	40.8	20.6	2.6	23.2	56.9	9.6	66.5	23.0	6.1	29.1	45.7	8.8	54.4
Non-Metro **	56.1	11.2	67.2	28.6	3.8	2.4	76.2	16.2	92.4	26.1	48.5	44.6	67.5	11.6	79.1
Average	50.2	8.4	58.6	43.1	2.7	45.8	67.9	13.4	81.3	37.1	7.1	44.2	54.5	10.0	64.4

* Includes all non-center -city metropolitan areas

** Includes rural and all other non-metropolitan areas

SOURCE: U.S. Census/ELSEGIS 1970 Data Base (Supplemented)

districts of lower average income in all parts of the country. The rate of per-pupil payments between the lowest to the highest median income districts is in the range of 2:1 in the South and the Midwest, about 2-1/2:1 in the West, and 4:1 in the Northeast. Nationally, it is about 2-1/2:1. Only in the Midwest is the per-pupil expenditure for the middle 50 percent close to the rate for the low 25 percent in family income. In directing Federal funds to income-poor districts, property-poor districts receive little more than property-rich districts when aggregated over the nation as a whole. This national pattern is, however, a composite, and a smoothing out, of diverse regional patterns in which Federal aid is disequalizing with respect to low-wealth districts in the Northeast, neutral in the Midwest, and equalizing only in the South and West. This confirms once more the need to examine funding patterns according to more than any single criterion and in greater detail than an aggregate national analysis provides.

The relative impact of total Federal aid on the cities has much to do with regional circumstances, and indicates the inadequacy of looking at only the national aid distribution. Total benefits are skewed towards the cities in the more major urban States of the Northeast and Midwest, particularly so in the Northeast. In the South and West they are skewed to rural places. Suburban districts do not fare particularly well (in terms of average rate of payment per pupil) in any part of the country. These regional patterns of impact by degree of urbanization closely correspond to the pattern of location of lower income families within States.

Generally, the allocation of school lunch support assists low-income rather than high-income districts, and rural over urban places. It is relatively neutral with regard to funding of districts by differing levels of taxable property. This greater assistance to low-income districts is the result of the fact that the allocation formula for the school lunch program includes an income-need factor. The heavier allocations to rural areas may be at least partly explained by the greater distances from school to home in the less urbanized areas.

VII. CONCLUSIONS: IMPLICATIONS FOR FEDERAL POLICY

This study has presented an analysis of the patterns of Federal funding allocations by type of district, where districts have been categorized by their relative median family income, relative property wealth, and relative degree of urbanization. The principal results are:

- In the urban regions -- particularly in the Northeast but also in the Midwest -- Title I aid, which is equalizing among districts on the basis of family income, is disequalizing with respect to districts defined on the basis of property tax base. In the West, the effect of Title I aid is neutral with respect to equalizing districts defined on property wealth per pupil. Only in the South is Title I aid equalizing across districts defined as needy on both criteria. Furthermore, Title I aid targets on the cities in the Northeast and Midwest, but on rural districts in the South and the West -- a distributional pattern which is in keeping with the targeting on low-income districts, whose locations differ region by region.
- Nationally, SAFA aid tends to be targeted very heavily on areas of low tax base. It is neutral, however, with respect to districts' income, and it is directed more heavily to suburban districts than to cities

or rural districts. The 'A' and 'B' portions of SAFA are distributed in quite different ways among districts. 'A' funding is concentrated at high rates on districts that are needy on either the basis of family income or property tax base. In contrast, 'B' funds are less heavily concentrated on relatively low tax base districts and are actually funded at higher than average rates to districts of higher average income. This suggests that efforts to reform SAFA might be directed at the 'B' portion of the program.

- The State discretionary Federal grant programs generally reflect, but to a lesser extent, the Title I pattern of aid. The observed patterns of allocations do not support the widely expressed fear that State governments are discriminating against center cities in the exercise of their authority in these grant programs. Nationally, and in all regions except the South, the center cities receive per-pupil spending that is at least equal to that of the suburbs and rural areas; in the Northeast, the per-pupil spending in the center cities is twice that of either the suburbs or the rural areas. Rural areas tend to be favored over suburbs from these programs in total, but the auxiliary services portion of these funds is directed almost as heavily to suburbs as to rural areas.

. Generally, school lunch support is allocated to low-income rather than high-income districts, and to rural rather than urban places. Its distribution is relatively neutral with respect to property tax base. The greater assistance to low-income districts results from the fact that the allocation formula for the school lunch program includes an income-need factor. The heavier allocations to rural areas may be explained, at least in part, by the greater distances from school to home in the less urbanized areas, and the consequently greater need for the school to serve lunch.

. When all sources of aid to school districts for elementary and secondary education are aggregated, funds are seen to be heavily directed to districts of lower average income in all regions of the country. On the criterion of property wealth, however, the pattern is different: total Federal aid is directed heavily to property-rich districts in the Northeast, is neutral in the Midwest, and is directed to property-poor districts in the South and the West. When districts are viewed by degree of urbanization, it is seen that total benefits are directed towards urban areas in the more urbanized States, and to rural districts in the South and the West. Suburban districts do not fare particularly well (in terms of average rate of payment per pupil) in any part of the country. These regional patterns of impact of total Federal

aid by degree of urbanization closely correspond to the pattern of the location of lower income families within States.

A principal conclusion from these results is that no single Federal aid program is allocated in a way that satisfies the needs represented by all three groupings of districts studied: income, property wealth, and degree of urbanization. Nonetheless, the patterns depicted here reflect the important tradeoffs among types of perceived needs that must be considered in a balanced Federal policy. The present pattern of total Federal funding -- with emphasis on lower income districts, generally; on the cities in the more urban States; and on rural districts in less urbanized States -- is one compromise that may meet quite well the needs of children disadvantaged by lack of family income, but not nearly as well the needs of districts disadvantaged by lack of taxable property.

This conclusion has important implications in the consideration of any move toward a Federal policy of consolidated grants providing general educational support and away from categorical support targeted for particular educational purposes. The results here strongly indicate that any simple general-aid formula -- allocating solely on the basis of the number of pupils, for instance -- would almost certainly fail to approximate the present pattern of overall Federal allocations, and in all probability would create major and unintended disruptions in allocations within States. Follow-on studies might explore the feasibility of developing a single formula that would avoid the difficulties suggested above.

APPENDIX

The tables of this appendix show Federal educational expenditures for each State, each region, and for the entire nation. Per-pupil expenditures are shown for each of the major educational program categories discussed in the body of this paper. The district classifications are identical to those in the summary tables in the text.

The appendix tables augment the text tables in several ways. In addition to the SAFA payments under Parts 'A' and 'B', the sum of other SAFA payments is included, so that all the components of total SAFA expenditures are presented. Furthermore, the various State discretionary expenditures, other than vocational education, are broken out and shown in their component parts. Finally, those Federal educational programs not included in the major categories discussed in the text are presented, labeled "All Other".

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	39.91	4.06	4.90	0.69	9.65	4.34	0.74	1.90	1.04	0.18	3.86	8.21	12.00	69.77	11.09	80.86
MIDDLE 50%	22.84	1.65	7.75	0.91	10.31	3.14	0.85	2.46	1.03	0.18	4.52	7.65	10.40	51.21	7.96	59.17
HIGH 25%	8.20	0.67	7.56	1.19	9.41	1.98	0.77	2.02	0.98	0.21	3.98	5.96	5.01	28.59	6.45	35.03
AVERAGE	23.45	2.01	6.99	0.92	9.92	3.15	0.80	2.21	1.02	0.19	4.22	7.37	9.45	50.19	8.37	58.56
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	22.91	5.01	9.00	1.84	15.85	2.54	0.84	1.55	1.09	0.19	3.66	6.20	8.05	53.01	8.86	61.87
MIDDLE 50%	21.92	0.69	7.20	0.81	8.70	3.05	0.86	2.41	0.95	0.17	4.39	7.44	9.83	47.89	7.98	55.87
HIGH 25%	24.21	0.58	5.04	0.32	5.94	3.25	0.64	2.35	1.04	0.20	4.22	7.47	10.35	47.97	8.01	55.98
AVERAGE	22.74	1.74	7.11	0.95	9.80	2.97	0.80	2.18	1.01	0.18	4.17	7.14	9.52	49.19	8.20	57.40
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	32.59	0.84	7.66	0.75	9.24	3.95	0.96	3.22	0.96	0.17	5.31	9.26	16.95	68.04	7.69	75.73
LARGEST CC	41.90	0.25	5.68	0.77	6.70	3.85	1.13	3.41	0.88	0.15	5.57	9.42	18.59	76.61	6.02	82.63
SUBURBAN *	10.96	2.91	8.28	1.11	12.30	1.95	0.78	1.62	0.96	0.19	3.56	5.50	5.57	34.33	6.44	40.77
NON METRO **	31.67	1.79	4.96	0.83	7.58	4.00	0.71	2.17	1.14	0.20	4.21	8.21	8.59	56.06	11.17	67.23
AVERAGE	23.45	2.01	6.99	0.92	9.92	3.15	0.80	2.21	1.02	0.19	4.22	7.37	9.45	50.19	8.37	58.56

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

NORTHEAST

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	I	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	37.08	I	3.22	4.73	0.02	7.97	I	2.45	0.90	2.42	1.20	0.06	4.58	7.03	I	10.12	62.20	3.00	65.20
MIDDLE 50%	27.06	I	0.75	4.03	0.09	4.87	I	1.75	0.97	2.56	0.99	0.13	4.66	6.41	I	9.62	47.97	2.59	50.56
HIGH 25%	5.65	I	0.18	2.78	0.15	3.10	I	0.61	0.67	1.44	0.99	0.12	3.22	3.83	I	1.54	14.12	2.61	16.73
AVERAGE	24.21	I	1.22	3.89	0.09	5.20	I	1.64	0.88	2.24	1.04	0.11	4.28	5.92	I	7.73	43.06	2.70	45.76
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	13.41	I	3.09	4.64	0.29	8.03	I	1.23	1.13	1.11	0.80	0.14	3.19	4.41	I	3.05	28.89	3.00	31.89
MIDDLE 50%	22.01	I	0.79	3.92	0.03	4.74	I	1.37	0.83	2.32	1.11	0.10	4.36	5.72	I	6.51	38.99	2.62	41.60
HIGH 25%	40.20	I	0.29	3.03	0.00	3.33	I	2.30	0.76	3.25	1.15	0.09	5.26	7.56	I	15.17	66.25	2.50	68.74
AVERAGE	24.41	I	1.24	3.88	0.09	5.21	I	1.56	0.89	2.25	1.04	0.11	4.29	5.85	I	7.81	43.28	2.68	45.96
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	64.02	I	1.49	3.79	0.0	5.28	I	3.38	0.94	4.78	1.22	0.06	6.99	10.38	I	23.61	103.28	1.97	105.25
LARGEST CC	79.28	I	0.55	3.35	0.0	3.90	I	3.50	0.86	4.19	1.30	0.04	6.39	9.88	I	24.11	117.18	1.30	118.48
SUBURBAN *	9.77	I	0.51	3.77	0.13	4.41	I	0.86	0.91	1.37	0.89	0.12	3.29	4.15	I	2.31	20.64	2.55	23.19
NON METRO **	13.77	I	2.57	4.27	0.08	6.92	I	1.52	0.76	1.48	1.20	0.14	3.59	5.11	I	2.78	28.58	3.84	32.42
AVERAGE	24.21	I	1.22	3.89	0.09	5.20	I	1.64	0.88	2.24	1.04	0.11	4.28	5.92	I	7.73	43.06	2.70	45.76

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE III ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	22.76	1.55	2.60	0.69	4.85	4.52	0.77	1.44	1.30	0.22	3.73	8.26	7.68	43.54	8.58	52.12
MIDDLE 50%	17.98	0.78	4.07	1.14	5.99	3.47	1.05	2.38	1.17	0.22	4.82	8.28	10.48	42.73	7.09	49.82
HIGH 25%	5.18	1.21	3.47	0.39	5.07	2.40	0.87	2.26	1.18	0.26	4.58	6.98	2.15	19.38	5.57	24.96
AVERAGE	15.98	1.08	3.55	0.84	5.47	3.47	0.94	2.11	1.20	0.23	4.49	7.95	7.70	37.10	7.08	44.18
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	12.89	3.90	4.76	0.95	9.61	2.31	0.86	1.25	1.40	0.21	3.73	6.04	3.79	32.34	7.58	39.92
MIDDLE 50%	16.93	0.23	3.91	1.07	5.21	3.26	1.12	2.48	1.10	0.20	4.89	8.15	9.76	40.05	6.65	46.70
HIGH 25%	15.77	0.09	2.43	0.53	3.04	4.34	0.67	2.27	1.28	0.30	4.51	8.86	7.18	34.85	7.10	41.95
AVERAGE	15.63	1.11	3.75	0.91	5.77	3.29	0.94	2.12	1.22	0.23	4.50	7.80	7.62	36.82	6.99	43.82
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	26.06	0.01	4.46	0.83	5.31	4.96	1.45	3.91	1.00	0.32	6.68	11.64	19.20	62.21	6.75	68.96
LARGEST CC	33.29	0.03	5.46	0.95	6.44	5.22	2.12	4.25	0.79	0.38	7.54	12.76	22.69	75.18	7.47	82.65
SUBURBAN *	7.58	0.73	3.67	1.19	5.60	2.17	0.80	1.74	1.17	0.23	3.94	6.11	3.73	23.02	6.10	29.12
NON METRO **	18.83	2.26	2.76	0.42	5.44	3.94	0.73	1.29	1.40	0.16	3.58	7.53	4.27	36.06	8.48	44.55
AVERAGE	15.98	1.08	3.55	0.84	5.47	3.47	0.94	2.11	1.20	0.23	4.49	7.95	7.70	37.10	7.08	44.18

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	68.16	I	0.46	3.60	0.31	4.37	I	8.22	0.69	2.54	0.92	0.22	4.37	12.59	I	11.49	96.61	18.53	115.15
MIDDLE 50%	30.94	I	0.32	10.89	0.82	12.03	I	4.56	0.63	2.73	1.20	0.21	4.77	9.33	I	9.14	61.43	12.49	73.92
HIGH 25%	15.63	I	0.51	13.54	1.48	15.52	I	3.21	0.78	2.84	1.01	0.18	4.81	8.03	I	12.80	51.98	10.18	62.16
AVERAGE	36.42	I	0.40	9.73	0.86	10.99	I	5.14	0.68	2.71	1.08	0.21	4.68	9.82	I	10.64	67.86	13.42	81.29
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	50.36	I	0.86	10.76	1.57	13.18	I	4.90	0.69	2.30	1.17	0.20	4.36	9.26	I	9.41	82.23	15.26	97.48
MIDDLE 50%	33.02	I	0.39	10.11	1.01	11.52	I	5.53	0.73	3.09	1.04	[illegible]	5.04	10.57	I	12.91	68.02	13.05	81.07
HIGH 25%	24.71	I	0.10	8.65	0.13	8.88	I	3.54	0.57	2.70	0.95	0.22	4.44	7.98	I	8.45	50.02	11.88	61.90
AVERAGE	35.28	I	0.43	9.91	0.93	11.28	I	4.88	0.68	2.79	1.05	0.19	4.72	9.59	I	10.92	67.07	13.31	80.38
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	29.40	I	0.01	11.24	1.06	12.31	I	4.94	0.57	1.80	1.02	0.22	3.61	8.55	I	11.51	61.78	11.82	73.60
LARGEST CC	31.08	I	0.00	8.54	0.0	8.54	I	4.51	0.66	1.54	0.89	0.16	3.25	7.76	I	12.12	59.51	12.21	71.72
SUBURBAN *	17.69	I	0.49	16.52	1.26	18.27	I	3.74	0.75	2.65	1.15	0.15	4.71	8.45	I	12.53	56.94	9.58	66.52
NON METRO **	49.60	I	0.47	5.28	0.56	6.31	I	6.02	0.68	3.04	1.06	0.23	5.01	11.03	I	9.26	76.20	16.18	92.38
AVERAGE	36.42	I	0.40	9.73	0.86	10.99	I	5.14	0.68	2.71	1.08	0.21	4.68	9.82	I	10.64	67.86	13.42	81.29

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	VOC ED	TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	36.55	I	9.88	8.23	1.49	19.61	I	2.62	0.63	1.45	0.78	0.19	3.05	5.67	I	17.94	79.77	13.90	93.68
MIDDLE 50%	18.00	I	4.22	11.68	1.37	17.27	I	2.78	0.72	2.24	0.79	0.17	3.92	6.70	I	11.89	53.87	9.38	63.25
HIGH 25%	7.27	I	0.65	10.48	2.52	13.65	I	1.65	0.74	1.61	0.76	0.25	3.36	5.01	I	4.36	30.29	7.32	37.60
AVERAGE	19.95	I	4.74	10.52	1.69	16.95	I	2.46	0.70	1.88	0.78	0.19	3.56	6.02	I	11.52	54.45	10.00	64.44
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	18.97	I	11.05	15.51	4.27	30.83	I	1.99	0.69	1.61	0.94	0.20	3.44	5.44	I	15.47	70.71	9.98	80.69
MIDDLE 50%	17.92	I	1.31	10.92	1.06	13.28	I	2.29	0.73	1.89	0.60	0.18	3.40	5.68	I	10.25	47.15	9.79	56.94
HIGH 25%	18.63	I	1.70	6.46	0.52	8.68	I	2.75	0.56	1.38	0.78	0.16	2.88	5.63	I	10.93	43.87	10.51	54.38
AVERAGE	18.36	I	3.84	10.95	1.73	16.52	I	2.33	0.68	1.69	0.73	0.18	3.28	5.61	I	11.73	52.22	10.02	62.24
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	20.02	I	1.38	10.81	1.01	13.21	I	3.16	0.78	2.36	0.75	0.10	3.99	7.15	I	13.58	53.96	10.08	64.04
LARGEST CC	21.25	I	0.31	6.39	1.78	8.49	I	2.55	0.74	2.97	0.57	0.04	4.33	6.88	I	13.38	50.01	5.51	55.52
SUBURBAN *	11.46	I	8.91	12.40	1.91	23.22	I	1.73	0.65	1.15	0.71	0.25	2.77	4.50	I	6.48	45.66	8.77	54.44
NON METRO **	31.91	I	2.68	7.52	2.16	12.35	I	2.68	0.68	2.37	0.92	0.22	4.19	6.88	I	16.31	67.45	11.63	79.08
AVERAGE	19.95	I	4.74	10.52	1.69	16.95	I	2.46	0.70	1.88	0.78	0.19	3.56	6.02	I	11.52	54.45	10.00	64.44

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	86.30	0.03	2.98	0.0	3.02	7.65	0.66	0.27	0.92	0.45	2.30	9.95	3.38	102.65	19.08	121.73
MIDDLE 50%	40.96	0.01	6.41	0.0	6.42	8.08	0.73	2.67	1.68	0.28	5.36	13.44	6.89	67.71	14.79	82.51
HIGH 25%	25.89	0.0	15.10	0.0	15.10	8.15	0.58	1.58	1.33	0.29	3.79	11.94	12.68	65.62	9.00	74.62
AVERAGE	48.53	0.01	7.73	0.0	7.74	7.99	0.68	1.80	1.40	0.32	4.20	12.19	7.46	75.92	14.42	90.34
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%																
MIDDLE 50%	(* * * * PROPERTY VALUE UNAVAILABLE FOR THIS STATE * * * *)															
HIGH 25%																
AVERAGE																
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	31.88	0.01	12.92	0.0	12.93	7.06	0.35	2.26	1.39	0.29	4.28	11.35	10.54	66.70	10.53	77.23
LARGEST CC	31.48	0.0	6.22	0.0	6.22	4.08	0.20	0.0	0.86	0.30	1.37	5.44	2.70	.84	18.94	64.79
SUBURBAN *	39.06	0.02	4.76	0.0	4.78	12.14	0.73	1.15	1.67	0.28	3.83	15.97	6.50	66.32	14.12	80.44
NON METRO **	62.03	0.02	6.00	0.0	6.02	6.78	0.84	1.80	1.30	0.36	4.31	11.09	6.10	85.25	16.76	102.01
AVERAGE	48.53	0.01	7.73	0.0	7.74	7.99	0.68	1.80	1.40	0.32	4.20	12.19	7.46	75.92	14.42	90.34

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	***** SAFA ***** 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	*** STATE DISCRETIONARY *** VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	43.82	I	8.29	7.47	0.0	15.76	I	2.66	0.17	0.0	0.29	0.0	0.46	3.12	I	99.04	161.75	6.95	168.69
MIDDLE 50%	11.71	I	3.96	48.09	0.0	52.05	I	0.55	0.24	8.05	0.09	0.14	8.52	9.07	I	4.15	76.98	4.18	81.16
HIGH 25%	11.26	I	8.52	52.59	0.0	61.12	I	8.48	0.35	1.78	0.22	0.20	2.55	11.03	I	22.50	105.90	9.86	115.77
AVERAGE	19.63	I	6.18	39.06	0.0	45.24	I	3.06	0.25	4.47	0.17	0.12	5.01	8.07	I	32.46	105.40	6.29	111.70
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%																			
MIDDLE 50%	(* * * * PROPERTY VALUE UNAVAILABLE FOR THIS STATE * * * *)																		
HIGH 25%																			
AVERAGE																			
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LARGEST CC	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUBURBAN *	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NON METRO **	19.63	I	6.18	39.06	0.0	45.24	I	3.06	0.25	4.47	0.17	0.12	5.01	8.07	I	32.46	105.40	6.29	111.70
AVERAGE	19.63	I	6.18	39.06	0.0	45.24	I	3.06	0.25	4.47	0.17	0.12	5.01	8.07	I	32.46	105.40	6.29	111.70

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	43.06	18.30	5.63	14.00	37.93	6.40	0.71	1.51	0.81	0.39	3.42	9.83	27.94	118.75	11.25	130.01
MIDDLE 50%	15.17	3.38	15.26	0.0	18.64	10.70	0.83	2.41	1.01	0.26	4.52	15.22	9.69	58.71	8.26	66.98
HIGH 25%	5.36	0.0	4.73	0.0	4.73	2.71	0.94	2.56	0.90	0.21	4.61	7.33	0.39	17.80	4.18	21.98
AVERAGE	19.69	6.27	10.22	3.50	19.98	7.63	0.83	2.22	0.93	0.28	4.27	11.90	11.93	63.50	7.99	71.49
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%																
MIDDLE 50%	(* * * * PROPERTY VALUE UNAVAILABLE FOR THIS STATE * * * *)															
HIGH 25%																
AVERAGE																
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	18.32	0.83	19.42	0.0	20.25	16.97	0.71	1.65	0.97	0.14	3.47	20.44	6.03	65.04	8.59	73.63
LARGEST CC	14.97	0.0	28.20	0.0	28.20	0.0	0.74	2.94	0.66	0.14	4.48	4.48	5.28	52.94	14.62	67.55
SUBURBAN *	14.39	1.89	6.44	0.0	8.33	3.13	0.87	3.11	0.95	0.30	5.22	8.36	1.73	32.81	6.78	39.59
NON METRO **	31.01	19.26	9.74	13.17	42.17	8.42	0.85	1.01	0.87	0.36	3.10	11.52	36.49	121.20	9.80	131.00
AVERAGE	19.69	6.27	10.22	3.50	19.98	7.63	0.83	2.22	0.93	0.28	4.27	11.90	11.93	63.50	7.99	71.49

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	ALL OTHER TITLE III NDEA	OTHER TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	80.30	0.0	0.84	0.0	0.84	2.70	0.53	0.24	0.44	0.15	1.35	4.05	34.83	120.02	20.48	140.50
MIDDLE 50%	43.72	0.03	3.96	0.28	4.27	2.43	0.62	7.08	0.83	0.35	8.88	11.32	31.11	90.43	14.85	105.28
HIGH 25%	30.79	0.02	8.51	2.88	11.41	3.15	0.41	3.51	0.10	0.43	4.45	7.60	9.93	59.74	12.79	72.54
AVERAGE	49.63	0.02	4.32	0.86	5.20	2.68	0.55	4.48	0.55	0.32	5.89	8.57	26.75	90.15	15.74	105.90
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	54.67	0.0	2.56	0.56	3.12	2.30	0.81	9.09	0.59	0.21	10.70	13.00	42.87	113.67	17.10	130.76
MIDDLE 50%	50.21	0.0	5.33	1.44	6.77	2.06	0.52	1.33	0.61	0.38	2.84	4.89	28.86	90.74	16.10	106.84
HIGH 25%	43.44	0.08	4.06	0.0	4.13	4.30	0.34	6.14	0.40	0.31	7.20	11.50	6.40	65.47	13.68	79.15
AVERAGE	49.63	0.02	4.32	0.86	5.20	2.68	0.55	4.48	0.55	0.32	5.89	8.57	26.75	90.15	15.74	105.90
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	29.16	0.03	10.82	0.0	10.85	5.00	0.25	3.45	0.05	0.20	3.95	8.95	9.02	57.99	12.03	70.02
LARGEST CC	28.90	0.0	10.17	0.0	10.17	10.42	0.0	1.76	0.0	0.0	1.76	12.19	1.64	52.89	11.98	64.88
SUBURBAN *	34.74	0.0	8.31	5.59	13.90	0.67	0.44	0.60	0.22	0.15	1.41	2.08	23.29	74.01	15.79	89.80
NON METRO **	57.50	0.02	1.99	0.02	2.02	2.60	0.63	5.56	0.74	0.38	7.31	9.91	31.48	100.92	16.57	117.48
AVERAGE	49.63	0.02	4.32	0.86	5.20	2.68	0.55	4.48	0.55	0.32	5.89	8.57	26.75	90.15	15.74	105.90

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY: TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	ALL OTHER TITLE III NDEA	OTHER TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL FED EDUC	ALL OTHER FED EDUC	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	24.34	20.40	10.59	1.38	32.36	2.84	0.48	1.39	0.40	0.20	2.48	5.32	13.01	75.03	14.96	89.99
MIDDLE 50%	17.18	2.49	12.14	0.56	15.18	2.70	0.42	2.04	0.28	0.07	2.81	5.51	11.71	49.58	9.73	59.31
HIGH 25%	4.64	1.10	8.84	0.82	10.76	1.69	0.51	0.79	0.39	0.13	1.82	3.51	1.90	20.81	6.98	27.79
AVERAGE	15.83	6.62	10.93	0.83	18.37	2.48	0.46	1.57	0.34	0.12	2.48	4.96	9.58	48.75	10.35	59.10
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	11.71	21.22	13.41	1.58	36.20	1.69	0.52	1.08	0.28	0.17	2.05	3.74	7.79	59.44	8.18	67.62
MIDDLE 50%	18.70	1.85	11.45	0.70	14.00	2.49	0.46	2.08	0.27	0.10	2.92	5.40	9.84	47.94	10.73	58.67
HIGH 25%	14.23	1.54	7.40	0.34	9.28	3.26	0.38	1.04	0.52	0.10	2.03	5.29	10.86	39.66	11.78	51.44
AVERAGE	15.83	6.62	10.93	0.83	18.37	2.48	0.46	1.57	0.34	0.12	2.48	4.96	9.58	48.75	10.35	59.10
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	22.62	2.62	11.15	0.0	13.77	3.45	0.44	2.83	0.20	0.05	3.51	6.97	14.09	57.45	10.52	67.97
LARGEST CC	25.10	0.61	5.48	0.0	6.09	3.57	0.67	4.36	0.27	0.0	5.30	8.86	13.76	53.82	2.36	56.18
SUBURBAN *	10.52	9.77	11.74	1.41	22.93	1.88	0.48	0.93	0.38	0.16	1.95	3.83	5.71	42.99	9.27	52.26
NON METRO **	26.30	0.27	3.90	0.0	4.16	2.75	0.37	0.91	0.64	0.08	2.00	4.75	19.17	54.38	17.67	72.06
AVERAGE	15.83	6.62	10.93	0.83	18.37	2.48	0.46	1.57	0.34	0.12	2.48	4.96	9.58	48.75	10.35	59.10

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	14.35	1.08	9.27	1.86	12.21	1.51	0.61	0.82	1.35	0.16	2.94	4.44	17.38	48.38	7.77	56.15
MIDDLE 50%	15.38	4.21	15.53	1.69	21.44	2.30	0.33	4.70	1.27	0.16	6.46	8.76	8.99	54.56	7.77	62.33
HIGH 25%	3.25	0.01	17.26	4.12	21.38	0.75	0.18	3.34	1.91	0.08	5.50	6.25	4.56	35.45	4.55	40.00
AVERAGE	12.09	2.38	14.40	2.34	19.12	1.71	0.36	3.39	1.45	0.14	5.34	7.05	9.98	48.24	6.96	55.20
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	9.68	7.67	17.31	4.07	29.04	1.13	0.41	1.40	1.28	0.06	3.16	4.30	15.77	58.79	6.04	64.83
MIDDLE 50%	9.36	0.62	17.91	2.65	21.18	1.55	0.44	5.23	1.32	0.15	7.15	8.70	6.93	46.16	5.90	52.06
HIGH 25%	19.96	0.60	4.46	0.0	5.07	2.62	0.16	1.68	1.87	0.19	3.91	6.53	10.28	41.84	10.02	51.85
AVERAGE	12.09	2.38	14.40	2.34	19.12	1.71	0.36	3.39	1.45	0.14	5.34	7.05	9.98	48.24	6.96	55.20
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	21.24	0.91	11.55	0.81	13.26	2.59	0.41	2.70	1.83	0.21	5.15	7.74	7.51	49.76	8.38	58.15
LARGEST CC	26.68	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.24	0.0	2.35	2.12	0.20	4.68	7.92	11.67	46.27	10.72	56.98
SUBURBAN *	5.79	3.89	20.43	3.82	28.14	1.05	0.24	2.57	1.35	0.10	4.26	5.31	4.95	44.18	5.19	49.37
NON METRO **	12.59	1.50	7.73	1.58	10.82	1.86	0.51	5.41	1.20	0.13	7.26	9.12	20.60	53.12	8.31	61.43
AVERAGE	12.09	2.38	14.40	2.34	19.12	1.71	0.36	3.39	1.45	0.14	5.34	7.05	9.98	48.24	6.96	55.20

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

CONNECTICUT

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	31.45	7.40	7.13	0.0	14.53	1.70	0.87	0.48	0.22	0.05	1.62	3.31	15.58	64.88	1.41	66.29
MIDDLE 50%	7.71	0.04	3.47	0.0	3.52	1.28	0.53	0.56	0.57	0.19	1.86	3.14	2.99	17.36	1.92	19.28
HIGH 25%	4.51	0.0	0.28	0.0	0.28	0.62	0.27	0.35	0.77	0.28	1.67	2.29	1.08	8.16	1.44	9.60
AVERAGE	12.85	1.87	3.59	0.0	5.46	1.22	0.55	0.49	0.53	0.18	1.75	2.97	5.66	26.94	1.68	28.62
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	6.34	7.56	3.75	0.0	11.31	0.88	0.34	0.05	0.40	0.19	0.98	1.87	9.79	20.32	1.59	21.91
MIDDLE 50%	11.87	0.07	3.22	0.0	3.29	1.02	0.76	0.69	0.48	0.20	2.14	3.16	4.69	23.01	2.08	25.09
HIGH 25%	21.16	0.0	4.55	0.0	4.55	1.61	0.40	0.56	0.63	0.12	1.70	3.31	12.97	41.98	0.96	42.94
AVERAGE	12.81	1.92	3.69	0.0	5.61	1.13	0.57	0.50	0.50	0.18	1.74	2.88	5.79	27.08	1.68	28.76
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	29.35	6.19	4.84	0.0	11.03	1.90	0.83	0.66	0.53	0.06	2.08	3.98	13.95	58.30	1.27	59.57
LARGEST CC	44.22	0.0	5.37	0.0	5.37	2.15	0.0	2.79	0.0	0.0	2.79	4.93	15.02	69.54	0.0	69.54
SUBURBAN *	5.07	0.05	4.11	0.0	4.16	0.78	0.39	0.60	0.55	0.21	1.76	2.54	2.27	14.05	1.71	15.75
NON METRO **	7.47	0.0	0.80	0.0	0.80	1.26	0.53	0.0	0.48	0.28	1.29	2.55	1.84	12.66	2.16	14.83
AVERAGE	12.85	1.87	3.59	0.0	5.46	1.22	0.55	0.49	0.53	0.18	1.75	2.97	5.66	26.94	1.68	28.62

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE ALL TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	41.34	0.36	1.93	0.0	2.29	1.75	0.75	4.46	1.03	0.12	6.37	8.12	40.04	91.79	5.68	97.48
MIDDLE 50%	10.85	0.0	5.57	0.0	5.57	1.83	1.01	6.83	0.35	0.21	8.39	10.22	5.78	32.41	5.98	38.39
HIGH 25%	2.69	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.20	0.52	6.01	0.28	0.37	7.17	9.38	2.27	14.34	5.52	19.86
AVERAGE	16.43	0.09	3.26	0.0	3.35	1.90	0.82	6.03	0.50	0.23	7.58	9.48	13.47	42.74	5.79	48.53
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	10.69	0.0	7.03	0.0	7.03	2.26	1.17	2.40	0.94	0.32	4.84	7.09	8.56	33.38	5.50	38.88
MIDDLE 50%	10.05	0.0	1.18	0.0	1.18	1.69	0.81	7.61	0.33	0.09	8.85	10.54	1.83	23.59	6.35	29.94
HIGH 25%	34.95	0.36	3.68	0.0	4.04	1.96	0.49	6.51	0.39	0.40	7.79	9.76	41.65	90.40	4.95	95.35
AVERAGE	16.43	0.09	3.26	0.0	3.35	1.90	0.82	6.03	0.50	0.23	7.58	9.48	13.47	42.74	5.79	48.53
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	66.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.30	0.75	6.63	0.77	0.20	8.35	11.64	86.25	164.01	3.90	167.91
LARGEST CC	66.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.30	0.75	6.63	0.77	0.20	8.35	11.64	86.25	164.01	3.90	167.91
SUBURBAN *	4.92	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.31	0.83	5.64	0.22	0.27	6.95	9.26	2.30	16.49	5.55	22.04
NON METRO **	18.69	0.27	9.71	0.0	9.98	0.76	0.84	6.47	0.87	0.17	8.35	9.12	7.44	45.23	6.82	52.05
AVERAGE	16.43	0.09	3.26	0.0	3.35	1.90	0.82	6.03	0.50	0.23	7.58	9.48	13.47	42.74	5.79	48.53

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	32.60	0.74	3.58	0.0	4.32	7.47	0.66	4.07	0.78	0.18	5.70	13.17	11.13	61.23	15.34	76.57
MIDDLE 50%	19.99	0.23	10.85	0.39	11.47	3.48	0.67	2.47	1.11	0.39	4.65	8.13	19.15	58.74	9.24	67.98
HIGH 25%	17.10	1.68	11.62	3.40	16.69	3.47	0.31	2.75	0.93	0.21	4.20	7.67	49.83	91.29	8.84	100.13
AVERAGE	22.42	0.72	9.23	1.04	10.99	4.48	0.58	2.94	0.98	0.30	4.80	9.27	24.81	67.50	10.66	78.16
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	22.07	2.34	24.08	4.17	30.60	3.96	0.81	4.29	1.30	0.14	6.53	10.49	9.43	72.60	10.29	82.89
MIDDLE 50%	20.80	0.27	5.44	0.0	5.71	4.74	0.55	2.07	0.80	0.21	3.64	8.38	35.06	69.94	10.88	80.82
HIGH 25%	26.02	0.0	1.94	0.0	1.94	4.47	0.39	3.33	1.04	0.63	5.39	9.85	19.70	57.52	10.60	68.12
AVERAGE	22.42	0.72	9.23	1.04	10.99	4.48	0.58	2.94	0.98	0.30	4.80	9.27	24.81	67.50	10.66	78.16
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	22.88	0.0	6.55	0.0	6.55	2.52	0.98	0.66	0.13	0.22	1.99	4.51	29.97	63.91	13.28	77.19
LARGEST CC	23.01	0.0	7.88	0.0	7.88	2.46	0.98	0.79	0.0	0.18	1.95	4.42	33.22	68.52	13.18	81.70
SUBURBAN *	19.37	0.20	7.01	0.0	7.21	3.76	0.46	2.22	1.06	0.19	3.93	7.69	32.25	66.52	8.54	75.06
NON METRO **	27.17	1.72	13.42	2.96	18.09	6.10	0.67	4.64	1.07	0.48	6.86	12.96	11.71	69.93	13.41	83.34
AVERAGE	22.42	0.72	9.23	1.04	10.99	4.48	0.58	2.94	0.98	0.30	4.80	9.27	24.81	67.50	10.66	78.16

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																		
LOW 25%	65.88	I	0.19	3.99	1.73	5.91	I	6.67	0.66	0.0	0.60	0.33	1.60	8.27	I	0.21	80.27	19.46	99.73
MIDDLE 50%	28.40	I	0.00	8.23	0.68	8.92	I	8.75	0.68	2.06	1.04	0.29	4.07	12.82	I	2.07	52.20	15.61	67.81
HIGH 25%	10.22	I	0.15	15.[illegible]	1.95	17.66	I	3.99	1.00	1.58	1.42	0.13	4.13	8.12	I	1.23	37.24	12.93	50.16
AVERAGE	33.22	I	0.09	9.[illegible]0	1.26	10.35	I	7.04	0.76	1.42	1.02	0.26	3.47	10.51	I	1.39	55.48	15.90	71.38
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																		
LOW 25%	43.78	I	0.19	8.71	1.74	10.64	I	7.63	0.67	0.70	1.07	0.33	2.77	10.41	I	1.60	66.43	16.75	83.18
MIDDLE 50%	36.16	I	0.08	9.77	1.67	11.52	I	6.71	0.72	1.90	0.78	0.31	3.71	10.43	I	1.10	59.21	16.02	75.23
HIGH 25%	16.77	I	0.0	7.94	0.0	7.94	I	7.20	0.91	1.23	1.47	0.08	3.70	10.90	I	1.79	37.41	14.69	52.10
AVERAGE	33.22	I	0.09	9.05	1.27	10.40	I	7.07	0.76	1.43	1.02	0.26	3.47	10.54	I	1.40	55.56	15.87	71.43
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																		
CENTER CITY	25.87	I	0.0	12.25	1.94	14.18	I	11.04	0.96	0.87	1.09	0.17	3.09	14.13	I	1.29	55.46	15.91	71.38
LARGEST CC	25.23	I	0.0	8.82	0.0	8.82	I	11.87	1.10	1.70	0.84	0.0	3.64	15.51	I	2.12	51.68	17.86	69.55
SUBURBAN *	12.26	I	0.14	15.[illegible]	1.83	17.86	I	5.23	0.93	1.75	1.46	0.21	4.35	9.58	I	1.01	40.72	12.91	53.63
NON METRO **	45.61	I	0.09	4.67	0.78	5.53	I	6.65	0.61	1.44	0.79	0.31	3.16	9.80	I	1.62	62.57	17.34	79.91
AVERAGE	33.22	I	0.09	9.00	1.26	10.35	I	7.04	0.76	1.42	1.02	0.26	3.47	10.51	I	1.39	55.48	15.90	71.38

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	* * * * * SAFA * * * * * 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY * * * * * ALL TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	24.83	11.84	7.53	0.0	19.37	5.67	0.71	0.01	1.31	0.19	2.22	7.89	5.04	57.14	9.69	66.83
MIDDLE 50%	24.60	0.37	6.10	0.77	7.25	2.25	0.88	5.51	1.43	0.25	8.07	10.33	18.23	60.40	6.50	66.90
HIGH 25%	12.49	0.11	17.55	0.0	17.66	5.26	0.39	4.14	2.01	0.26	6.81	12.07	5.03	47.25	6.20	53.45
AVERAGE	21.63	3.17	9.32	0.38	12.88	3.86	0.71	3.79	1.55	0.24	6.29	10.15	11.63	56.30	7.22	63.52
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	13.58	11.75	13.14	1.54	26.44	7.69	0.67	9.92	2.00	0.32	12.91	20.80	10.83	71.66	6.11	77.77
MIDDLE 50%	15.91	0.19	8.93	0.0	9.12	2.63	0.46	2.10	1.54	0.23	4.33	6.96	9.46	41.45	6.76	48.21
HIGH 25%	41.12	0.57	6.28	0.0	6.85	2.29	1.26	1.07	1.11	0.16	3.59	5.89	16.78	70.63	9.27	79.90
AVERAGE	21.63	3.17	9.32	0.38	12.88	3.86	0.71	3.79	1.55	0.24	6.29	10.15	11.63	56.30	7.22	63.52
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	9.66	0.22	9.34	0.0	9.56	2.51	0.15	3.22	0.82	0.27	4.45	6.97	1.89	28.07	5.61	33.68
LARGEST CC	9.66	0.22	9.34	0.0	9.56	2.51	0.15	3.22	0.82	0.27	4.45	6.97	1.89	28.07	5.61	33.68
SUBURBAN *	13.48	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.70	0.64	0.0	0.43	0.28	1.35	2.05	2.77	18.30	6.05	24.35
NON METRO **	23.57	3.68	9.55	0.45	13.69	4.13	0.80	3.97	1.68	0.23	6.69	10.82	13.27	61.35	7.49	68.84
AVERAGE	21.63	3.17	9.32	0.38	12.88	3.86	0.71	3.79	1.55	0.24	6.29	10.15	11.63	56.30	7.22	63.52

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	21.38	0.01	3.14	0.0	3.14	5.37	1.15	0.86	1.04	0.27	3.32	8.69	2.69	35.91	8.98	44.89
MIDDLE 50%	22.94	0.16	5.55	2.30	8.01	4.12	1.84	2.37	0.48	0.11	4.79	8.91	13.69	53.56	8.29	61.85
HIGH 25%	2.50	0.00	1.79	0.14	1.94	2.34	1.20	2.91	0.63	0.00	4.75	7.09	0.86	12.39	3.76	16.15
AVERAGE	17.44	0.08	4.01	1.18	5.28	3.99	1.51	2.13	0.66	0.12	4.41	8.40	7.73	38.85	7.33	46.18
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	15.36	0.00	1.33	0.05	1.39	2.26	1.07	1.62	0.83	0.17	3.69	5.95	2.08	24.78	6.96	31.74
MIDDLE 50%	22.97	0.15	6.14	2.32	8.62	4.62	2.06	2.11	0.68	0.10	4.95	9.56	13.29	54.44	7.93	62.37
HIGH 25%	8.46	0.02	2.43	0.04	2.48	4.47	0.82	2.68	0.45	0.12	4.07	8.53	2.27	21.75	6.51	28.26
AVERAGE	17.44	0.08	4.01	1.18	5.28	3.99	1.51	2.13	0.66	0.12	4.41	8.40	7.73	38.85	7.33	46.18
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	34.65	0.04	6.43	0.0	6.47	6.57	2.56	3.99	0.42	0.19	7.15	13.73	25.05	79.90	10.33	90.23
LARGEST CC	39.33	0.05	7.02	0.0	7.07	6.85	2.88	4.04	0.39	0.23	7.54	14.39	29.79	90.58	11.28	101.86
SUBURBAN *	8.15	0.13	3.36	2.29	5.79	1.78	1.14	1.70	0.64	0.05	3.53	5.31	2.00	21.25	5.69	26.94
NON METRO **	19.02	0.01	2.74	0.0	2.75	6.08	1.14	0.98	0.96	0.22	3.29	9.38	1.06	32.21	7.65	39.86
AVERAGE	17.44	0.08	4.01	1.18	5.28	3.99	1.51	2.13	0.66	0.12	4.41	8.40	7.73	38.85	7.33	46.18

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	15.95	0.00	3.21	0.70	3.91	2.88	0.20	2.49	1.50	0.55	4.74	7.62	2.02	29.50	9.22	38.72
MIDDLE 50%	17.04	0.04	2.52	0.14	2.70	2.42	0.63	2.08	1.56	0.19	4.46	6.88	8.48	31.10	6.89	37.99
HIGH 25%	6.39	0.0	0.79	0.52	1.31	3.03	0.21	1.34	1.45	0.18	3.19	6.21	1.82	15.73	8.38	24.11
AVERAGE	12.10	0.02	2.26	0.37	2.65	2.68	0.42	2.00	1.52	0.28	4.21	6.90	5.20	26.85	7.85	34.70
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	9.84	0.05	4.56	0.27	4.88	4.43	0.40	1.92	1.51	0.19	4.02	8.45	2.29	25.47	6.36	31.83
MIDDLE 50%	13.69	0.02	1.65	0.26	1.93	1.73	0.54	2.26	1.30	0.19	4.29	6.02	7.72	29.36	6.65	36.01
HIGH 25%	11.19	0.0	1.18	0.70	1.87	2.86	0.19	1.54	1.97	0.55	4.24	7.10	3.07	23.23	11.73	34.96
AVERAGE	12.10	0.02	2.26	0.37	2.65	2.68	0.42	2.00	1.52	0.28	4.21	6.90	5.20	26.85	7.85	34.70
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	16.53	0.01	1.83	0.0	1.84	1.74	0.75	2.05	1.09	0.18	4.08	5.81	13.28	37.46	5.41	42.87
LARGEST CC	15.46	0.02	6.32	0.0	6.35	0.0	1.44	0.0	2.95	0.0	4.39	4.39	9.47	35.67	2.38	38.05
SUBURBAN *	8.61	0.04	2.75	1.14	3.93	2.22	0.30	1.85	1.56	0.25	3.95	6.18	2.00	20.72	8.16	28.88
NON METRO **	11.59	0.02	2.18	0.0	2.20	3.84	0.25	2.08	1.82	0.39	4.54	8.39	1.51	23.69	9.53	33.21
AVERAGE	12.10	0.02	2.26	0.37	2.65	2.68	0.42	2.00	1.52	0.28	4.21	6.90	5.20	26.85	7.85	34.70

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEWIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	I	STATE DISCRETIONARY IVOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	27.87	I	0.0	0.81	0.54	1.35	I	2.95	0.14	0.03	0.56	0.02	0.75	3.70	I	0.07	32.99	12.03	45.02
MIDDLE 50%	21.72	I	0.12	3.60	0.13	3.84	I	1.57	0.25	0.45	1.33	0.07	2.10	3.67	I	0.27	29.51	13.07	42.59
HIGH 25%	12.66	I	0.00	6.08	0.0	6.09	I	1.35	2.26	2.47	1.66	1.03	7.42	8.78	I	0.21	27.76	10.85	38.60
AVERAGE	21.00	I	0.06	3.52	0.20	3.78	I	1.86	0.72	0.85	1.22	0.30	3.10	4.95	I	0.21	29.94	12.26	42.20
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	16.23	I	0.18	7.05	0.04	7.28	I	0.94	1.38	2.55	1.78	0.50	6.22	7.16	I	0.06	30.73	12.76	43.49
MIDDLE 50%	20.92	I	0.03	2.35	0.38	2.76	I	2.03	0.78	0.41	1.15	0.32	2.67	4.70	I	0.39	28.77	11.99	40.76
HIGH 25%	26.60	I	0.0	1.75	0.0	1.75	I	2.50	0.0	0.09	0.77	0.07	0.93	3.43	I	0.00	31.78	12.37	44.15
AVERAGE	21.17	I	0.06	3.38	0.20	3.64	I	1.88	0.74	0.87	1.21	0.30	3.12	5.00	I	0.21	30.01	12.28	42.29
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	18.19	I	0.00	4.55	0.0	4.56	I	1.11	2.73	2.18	2.40	0.90	8.21	9.32	I	0.09	32.17	13.78	45.95
LARGEST CC	19.91	I	0.02	3.40	0.0	3.42	I	2.89	8.43	5.12	0.93	2.43	16.92	19.81	I	0.27	43.40	13.46	56.86
SUBURBAN *	20.64	I	0.0	2.41	0.07	2.48	I	0.63	0.02	1.75	0.98	0.54	3.29	3.92	I	0.21	27.24	12.22	39.46
NON METRO **	22.01	I	0.09	3.48	0.29	3.87	I	2.43	0.25	0.18	0.90	0.04	1.37	3.80	I	0.25	29.93	11.77	41.69
AVERAGE	21.00	I	0.06	3.52	0.20	3.78	I	1.86	0.72	0.85	1.22	0.30	3.10	4.95	I	0.21	29.94	12.26	42.20

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	23.85	6.23	5.93	1.94	14.11	0.0	0.32	0.0	0.44	0.04	0.80	0.80	6.99	45.75	10.39	56.15
MIDDLE 50%	24.83	5.82	12.16	1.47	19.45	0.14	0.42	2.31	2.25	0.06	5.04	5.18	11.64	61.10	7.48	68.58
HIGH 25%	14.84	5.50	12.68	0.10	18.29	0.0	0.60	5.59	0.06	0.10	6.36	6.36	6.92	46.41	6.67	53.08
AVERAGE	22.09	5.84	10.74	1.25	17.83	0.07	0.44	2.55	1.25	0.06	4.31	4.38	9.30	53.59	8.00	61.60
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	22.47	20.87	21.55	3.89	46.31	0.0	0.32	3.47	0.27	0.02	4.09	4.09	4.14	77.02	5.94	82.96
MIDDLE 50%	18.92	1.18	9.89	0.49	11.57	0.0	0.48	3.37	0.50	0.10	4.45	4.45	13.93	48.86	7.96	56.83
HIGH 25%	28.05	0.14	1.60	0.11	1.86	0.28	0.50	0.0	3.72	0.04	4.26	4.54	5.18	39.63	10.15	49.78
AVERAGE	22.09	5.84	10.74	1.25	17.83	0.07	0.44	2.55	1.25	0.06	4.31	4.38	9.30	53.59	8.00	61.60
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	29.30	0.01	14.39	0.0	14.40	0.0	0.45	2.46	0.31	0.0	3.22	3.22	21.42	68.34	4.50	72.84
LARGEST CC	28.74	0.0	18.17	0.0	18.17	0.0	0.16	0.95	0.07	0.0	1.18	1.18	3.34	51.43	3.26	54.70
SUBURBAN *	9.22	7.64	18.36	3.36	29.36	0.0	0.45	5.28	0.38	0.14	6.24	6.24	6.94	51.75	7.92	59.67
NON METRO **	24.18	7.58	6.17	0.93	14.68	0.13	0.43	1.51	1.99	0.06	4.00	4.13	5.15	48.14	9.51	57.65
AVERAGE	22.09	5.84	10.74	1.25	17.83	0.07	0.44	2.55	1.25	0.06	4.31	4.38	9.30	53.59	8.00	61.60

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	VOC ED	TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	100.88	I	0.03	1.98	0.51	2.52	I	0.32	0.71	2.16	1.19	0.12	4.18	4.50	I	32.49	140.39	23.03	163.42
MIDDLE 50%	44.94	I	0.14	6.92	0.54	7.60	I	0.11	0.54	7.98	1.53	0.13	10.17	10.28	I	21.69	84.51	14.02	98.54
HIGH 25%	12.82	I	0.03	7.76	0.0	7.79	I	0.06	1.03	4.30	0.88	0.13	6.34	6.40	I	7.18	34.19	11.86	46.05
AVERAGE	50.89	I	0.08	5.89	0.40	6.38	I	0.15	0.71	5.60	1.28	0.13	7.72	7.87	I	20.77	85.90	15.73	101.64
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	90.09	I	0.03	5.21	0.24	5.48	I	0.24	0.89	2.16	1.18	0.14	4.37	4.61	I	33.22	133.40	23.48	156.88
MIDDLE 50%	41.15	I	0.07	5.52	0.68	6.27	I	0.12	0.69	9.23	1.55	0.13	11.60	11.72	I	21.64	80.78	12.91	93.70
HIGH 25%	31.18	I	0.16	7.32	0.0	7.49	I	0.12	0.56	1.79	0.84	0.10	3.29	3.42	I	6.56	48.64	13.63	62.27
AVERAGE	50.89	I	0.08	5.89	0.40	6.38	I	0.15	0.71	5.60	1.28	0.13	7.72	7.87	I	20.77	85.90	15.73	101.64
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	41.90	I	0.0	9.55	0.0	9.55	I	0.0	0.19	0.03	0.23	0.03	0.48	0.48	I	6.48	58.41	14.60	73.01
LARGEST CC	44.91	I	0.0	11.91	0.0	11.91	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	56.82	15.09	71.91
SUBURBAN *	15.23	I	0.03	7.43	0.0	7.46	I	0.05	1.03	3.97	0.93	0.14	6.07	6.13	I	5.77	34.59	11.20	45.79
NON METRO **	67.51	I	0.12	4.67	0.63	5.42	I	0.21	0.65	7.16	1.59	0.14	9.54	9.75	I	29.37	112.06	17.85	129.91
AVERAGE	50.89	I	0.08	5.89	0.40	6.38	I	0.15	0.71	5.60	1.28	0.13	7.72	7.87	I	20.77	85.90	15.73	101.64

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	84.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.80	0.47	3.25	1.15	0.31	5.18	7.98	7.86	99.96	12.38	112.33
MIDDLE 50%	37.29	0.0	5.21	0.51	5.72	1.68	1.38	3.52	1.71	0.38	6.99	8.68	10.26	61.94	11.28	73.23
HIGH 25%	12.38	0.0	2.66	1.39	4.05	1.24	0.99	5.18	1.13	0.40	7.70	8.94	4.38	29.75	11.51	41.26
AVERAGE	42.77	0.0	3.27	0.60	3.87	1.85	1.06	3.87	1.42	0.37	6.71	8.57	8.19	63.40	11.61	75.01
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%																
MIDDLE 50%	(* * * * PROPERTY VALUE UNAVAILABLE FOR THIS STATE * * * *)															
HIGH 25%																
AVERAGE																
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	29.18	0.0	1.49	0.0	1.49	1.24	1.41	5.20	1.31	0.29	8.21	9.45	7.50	47.62	11.02	58.64
LARGEST CC	45.31	0.0	3.81	0.0	3.81	1.43	2.35	1.58	1.60	0.0	5.53	6.96	10.33	66.41	10.03	76.44
SUBURBAN *	19.44	0.0	7.90	3.16	11.06	1.73	1.08	3.76	2.08	0.56	7.47	9.20	12.31	52.02	10.37	62.39
NON METRO **	59.94	0.0	2.59	0.0	2.59	2.27	0.83	3.09	1.25	0.34	5.52	7.79	7.04	77.36	12.45	89.81
AVERAGE	42.77	0.0	3.27	0.60	3.87	1.85	1.06	3.87	1.42	0.37	6.71	8.57	8.19	63.40	11.61	75.01

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE IVOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	19.95	17.43	3.24	0.86	21.52	2.28	1.17	2.99	2.09	0.12	6.38	2.86	52.98	9.69	62.68
MIDDLE 50%	12.01	6.11	8.54	0.75	15.39	2.78	0.93	5.12	1.40	0.25	7.70	7.28	45.18	6.06	51.24
HIGH 25%	6.96	0.13	8.21	0.07	8.42	4.62	0.97	1.99	0.85	0.14	3.95	1.16	25.11	4.42	29.54
AVERAGE	12.73	7.44	7.13	0.60	15.18	3.12	1.00	3.81	1.43	0.19	6.43	4.65	42.11	6.56	48.67
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	15.85	17.43	5.36	0.81	23.59	1.56	0.89	1.65	2.09	0.32	4.96	3.45	49.41	10.39	59.81
MIDDLE 50%	11.46	6.17	9.29	0.79	16.25	3.33	1.19	3.02	1.33	0.10	5.64	3.22	39.91	4.69	44.60
HIGH 25%	12.16	0.0	4.60	0.03	4.62	4.25	0.71	7.54	0.99	0.25	9.49	8.70	39.22	6.47	45.68
AVERAGE	12.73	7.44	7.13	0.60	15.18	3.12	1.00	3.81	1.43	0.19	6.43	4.65	42.11	6.56	48.67
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	14.04	0.0	3.47	0.0	3.47	0.70	0.60	10.39	0.91	0.32	12.22	12.92	14.46	44.90	6.51	51.41
LARGEST CC	16.35	0.0	6.66	0.0	6.66	0.35	0.38	17.35	1.04	0.53	19.30	19.65	14.91	57.58	8.70	66.28
SUBURBAN *	7.15	0.08	5.01	0.0	5.08	6.92	1.40	3.57	0.87	0.11	5.95	12.87	1.18	26.29	4.48	30.76
NON METRO **	13.36	9.96	8.14	0.81	18.91	2.98	1.01	2.63	1.62	0.18	5.44	8.41	3.37	44.04	6.89	50.93
AVERAGE	12.73	7.44	7.13	0.60	15.18	3.12	1.00	3.81	1.43	0.19	6.43	9.55	4.65	42.11	6.56	48.67

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE III NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	34.59	1.96	8.41	0.24	10.62	7.73	0.78	4.60	0.99	0.05	6.42	14.15	18.23	77.59	11.56	89.15
MIDDLE 50%	13.96	2.52	25.22	0.17	27.91	5.58	0.87	2.86	0.93	0.13	4.79	10.37	6.04	58.28	7.33	65.62
HIGH 25%	4.45	1.26	52.48	0.34	54.08	1.45	1.67	5.06	0.57	0.0	7.31	8.75	6.63	73.91	6.21	80.12
AVERAGE	16.74	2.07	27.83	0.23	30.13	5.08	1.05	3.84	0.85	0.08	5.83	10.91	9.23	67.02	8.11	75.13
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	40.26	2.27	11.67	0.24	14.19	4.66	0.70	2.68	0.97	0.07	4.41	9.08	11.85	75.38	12.53	87.91
MIDDLE 50%	10.34	2.81	33.36	0.34	36.51	5.65	1.28	3.16	0.85	0.08	5.38	11.03	9.19	67.07	7.06	74.13
HIGH 25%	6.03	0.37	32.93	0.0	33.30	4.37	0.94	6.37	0.74	0.09	8.14	12.51	6.72	58.55	5.78	64.33
AVERAGE	16.74	2.07	27.83	0.23	30.13	5.08	1.05	3.84	0.85	0.08	5.83	10.91	9.23	67.02	8.11	75.13
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	43.54	0.01	8.10	0.0	8.11	4.74	0.68	1.81	0.94	0.08	3.51	8.25	11.15	71.05	11.68	82.73
LARGEST CC	43.54	0.01	8.10	0.0	8.11	4.74	0.68	1.81	0.94	0.08	3.51	8.25	11.15	71.05	11.68	82.73
SUBURBAN *	5.91	2.17	37.74	0.27	40.18	3.33	1.23	3.98	0.81	0.07	6.09	9.42	4.93	60.44	6.03	66.47
NON METRO **	24.86	4.24	14.46	0.37	19.06	12.22	0.83	5.85	0.92	0.11	7.71	19.93	23.34	87.20	11.61	98.81
AVERAGE	16.74	2.07	27.83	0.23	30.13	5.08	1.05	3.84	0.85	0.08	5.83	10.91	9.23	67.02	8.11	75.13

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

MASSACHUSETTS

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	28.08	6.74	8.44	0.0	15.17	6.43	0.66	5.71	2.92	0.06	9.35	15.79	4.12	63.17	5.47	68.64
MIDDLE 50%	10.24	1.72	9.38	0.0	11.10	2.39	0.55	1.72	1.69	0.22	4.18	6.57	3.82	31.72	5.73	37.45
HIGH 25%	3.80	1.16	8.19	0.0	9.35	0.76	0.54	1.71	1.51	0.13	3.89	4.65	0.53	18.33	5.70	24.03
AVERAGE	13.09	2.83	8.85	0.0	11.68	2.99	0.58	2.71	1.95	0.16	5.40	8.39	3.07	36.24	5.66	41.89
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	13.95	9.97	10.39	0.0	20.36	1.74	0.41	4.01	1.67	0.21	6.29	8.03	3.95	46.29	4.70	50.99
MIDDLE 50%	15.49	0.70	7.84	0.0	8.53	2.69	0.71	2.72	2.23	0.15	5.81	8.50	3.20	35.73	5.90	41.63
HIGH 25%	9.09	0.41	8.95	0.0	9.36	2.53	0.49	1.80	1.80	0.08	4.18	6.71	2.27	27.44	6.04	33.48
AVERAGE	13.51	2.94	8.75	0.0	11.70	2.41	0.58	2.81	1.98	0.15	5.52	7.93	3.16	36.30	5.63	41.93
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	31.44	3.79	9.45	0.0	13.24	5.57	0.75	7.06	1.23	0.19	9.23	14.81	7.44	66.93	4.96	71.89
LARGEST CC	43.68	0.03	6.88	0.0	6.91	8.30	1.04	9.13	1.36	0.09	11.62	19.92	1.96	72.48	5.58	78.06
SUBURBAN *	7.16	0.76	8.72	0.0	9.48	1.28	0.52	1.20	1.66	0.13	3.51	4.79	1.64	23.07	5.77	28.84
NON METRO **	8.63	7.44	8.54	0.0	15.97	4.80	0.54	1.96	3.55	0.18	6.24	11.03	2.07	37.71	6.13	43.83
AVERAGE	13.09	2.83	8.85	0.0	11.68	2.99	0.58	2.71	1.95	0.16	5.40	8.39	3.07	36.24	5.66	41.89

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	IVOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	17.93	1.69	1.94	2.89	6.51	2.98	0.44	1.64	1.09	0.14	3.31	6.29	8.38	39.11	6.63	45.74
MIDDLE 50%	19.12	0.37	1.04	1.84	3.26	2.22	0.85	3.73	0.73	0.33	5.63	7.86	12.96	43.19	5.74	48.93
HIGH 25%	4.48	0.10	0.71	0.90	1.72	2.31	0.31	1.34	1.50	0.39	3.54	5.85	1.78	13.82	4.82	18.65
AVERAGE	15.16	0.63	1.18	1.87	3.69	2.44	0.61	2.61	1.01	0.29	4.53	6.96	9.02	34.83	5.73	40.56
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	9.48	1.65	1.02	0.78	3.45	2.20	0.41	1.25	1.81	0.28	3.75	5.95	1.76	20.65	7.00	27.65
MIDDLE 50%	18.38	0.44	1.55	2.11	4.10	2.05	0.84	3.33	0.68	0.27	5.13	7.18	11.34	41.01	5.28	46.29
HIGH 25%	14.39	0.0	0.62	2.46	3.08	3.44	0.37	2.51	0.88	0.35	4.11	7.55	11.63	36.65	5.36	42.01
AVERAGE	15.16	0.63	1.18	1.87	3.69	2.44	0.61	2.61	1.01	0.29	4.53	6.96	9.02	34.83	5.73	40.56
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	32.24	0.0	1.66	5.23	6.89	2.58	1.40	6.33	0.55	0.51	8.80	11.39	25.79	76.31	5.04	82.36
LARGEST CC	39.41	0.0	2.91	5.01	7.92	1.64	2.23	9.30	0.0	0.58	12.12	13.76	32.81	93.90	7.47	101.38
SUBURBAN *	7.55	0.41	0.53	0.59	1.53	2.14	0.29	1.67	1.27	0.29	3.53	5.67	3.44	18.19	5.05	23.25
NON METRO **	14.10	1.79	2.12	1.18	5.08	2.94	0.48	0.78	0.92	0.08	2.27	5.20	3.79	28.17	6.90	35.08
AVERAGE	15.16	0.63	1.18	1.87	3.69	2.44	0.61	2.61	1.01	0.29	4.53	6.96	9.02	34.83	5.73	40.56

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE III ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	36.89	2.94	0.06	0.0	3.00	6.77	0.89	0.48	1.67	0.07	3.11	9.88	10.97	60.73	10.64	71.38
MIDDLE 50%	20.33	0.0	1.71	0.0	1.71	7.59	0.97	2.84	0.99	0.38	5.18	12.77	11.52	46.33	7.72	54.05
HIGH 25%	4.13	0.0	0.06	0.0	0.06	0.70	0.83	2.22	0.39	0.20	3.64	4.34	0.69	9.23	6.45	15.68
AVERAGE	20.42	0.73	0.88	0.0	1.62	5.66	0.91	2.10	1.01	0.25	4.27	9.94	8.68	40.66	8.13	48.79
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MIDDLE 50%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
25%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
AVERAGE	20.42	0.73	0.88	0.0	1.62	5.66	0.91	2.10	1.01	0.25	4.27	9.94	8.68	40.66	8.13	48.79
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	28.81	0.0	2.08	0.0	2.08	3.44	0.99	3.89	0.57	0.87	6.32	9.77	25.49	66.14	9.91	76.05
LARGEST CC	37.49	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.69	1.60	6.86	0.0	0.78	9.25	9.94	21.18	68.61	7.53	76.14
SUBURBAN *	5.29	0.0	1.35	0.0	1.35	3.50	0.85	1.68	0.65	0.15	3.33	6.83	0.72	14.19	6.46	20.65
NON METRO **	29.16	1.62	0.03	0.0	1.65	8.29	0.94	1.71	1.47	0.09	4.20	12.50	8.26	51.57	8.75	60.32
AVERAGE	20.42	0.73	0.88	0.0	1.62	5.66	0.91	2.10	1.01	0.25	4.27	9.94	8.68	40.66	8.13	48.79

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	126.98	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.83	1.45	1.38	1.23	0.28	4.34	12.16	8.54	147.68	24.13	171.80
MIDDLE 50%	42.95	0.0	3.82	0.24	4.06	3.75	0.69	2.59	1.77	0.25	5.30	9.05	2.46	58.52	17.30	75.82
HIGH 25%	18.69	1.77	6.79	7.94	16.50	0.97	0.48	1.55	0.49	0.46	2.99	3.96	5.80	44.95	14.78	59.73
AVERAGE	57.89	0.44	3.61	2.10	6.16	4.08	0.83	2.03	1.31	0.31	4.48	8.56	4.82	77.42	18.38	95.79
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	63.77	1.73	3.69	0.0	5.43	1.87	0.76	0.25	0.98	0.22	2.22	4.09	1.96	75.24	18.00	93.23
MIDDLE 50%	64.86	0.02	3.54	3.97	7.53	6.42	0.99	2.08	1.73	0.23	5.02	11.44	7.55	91.38	20.11	111.49
HIGH 25%	38.07	0.0	3.65	0.48	4.13	1.60	0.58	3.69	0.82	0.57	5.67	7.27	2.21	51.68	15.28	66.96
AVERAGE	57.89	0.44	3.61	2.10	6.16	4.08	0.83	2.03	1.31	0.31	4.48	8.56	4.82	77.42	18.38	95.79
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	20.05	0.09	12.07	0.0	12.17	0.58	1.07	0.0	0.83	0.80	2.70	3.28	0.0	35.50	13.72	49.22
LARGEST CC	18.81	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.87	0.79	0.0	0.39	0.89	2.07	2.94	0.0	21.75	12.77	34.52
SUBURBAN *	29.91	0.0	8.75	28.21	36.96	1.40	1.44	0.0	2.88	0.49	4.81	6.21	15.25	88.32	19.23	107.56
NON METRO **	64.99	0.52	2.12	0.14	2.78	4.74	0.75	2.45	1.24	0.23	4.67	9.41	4.53	81.72	18.88	100.60
AVERAGE	57.89	0.44	3.61	2.10	6.16	4.08	0.83	2.03	1.31	0.31	4.48	8.56	4.82	77.42	18.38	95.79

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	29.97	8.15	3.93	0.56	12.64	12.97	0.89	3.01	1.63	0.29	5.82	18.80	10.67	72.07	10.19	82.26
MIDDLE 50%	10.40	1.09	9.37	2.33	12.79	3.86	1.11	2.44	1.50	0.20	5.25	9.12	1.44	33.75	7.48	41.23
HIGH 25%	2.06	0.03	6.35	3.18	9.57	0.83	0.86	4.29	1.70	0.44	7.28	8.12	3.18	22.92	5.50	28.43
AVERAGE	13.21	2.59	7.26	2.10	11.95	5.38	0.99	3.05	1.58	0.28	5.90	11.29	4.18	40.62	7.66	48.29
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	13.25	10.19	9.65	3.85	23.70	5.46	1.03	0.77	1.90	0.22	3.92	9.37	5.45	51.78	8.54	60.31
MIDDLE 50%	12.50	0.11	5.57	2.16	7.84	5.95	0.95	3.53	1.57	0.30	6.36	12.31	4.21	36.86	7.58	44.45
HIGH 25%	14.53	0.0	8.43	0.27	8.70	4.11	1.04	4.43	1.24	0.31	7.02	11.13	2.27	36.63	6.95	43.57
AVERAGE	13.19	2.60	7.30	2.11	12.02	5.37	0.99	3.07	1.57	0.28	5.91	11.28	4.04	40.53	7.66	48.20
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	12.69	0.10	2.09	0.0	2.19	4.32	0.61	3.26	0.93	0.28	5.08	9.41	4.09	28.38	7.77	36.15
LARGEST CC	14.23	0.20	4.32	0.0	4.53	6.05	0.00	0.0	0.34	0.34	0.69	6.72	5.03	30.51	8.42	38.93
SUBURBAN *	6.96	0.82	9.08	2.90	12.80	2.70	1.04	3.02	1.61	0.28	5.95	8.64	2.13	30.54	6.81	37.36
NON METRO **	30.16	8.16	4.07	0.63	12.85	12.96	0.99	3.05	1.73	0.28	6.05	19.01	9.73	71.75	9.91	81.67
AVERAGE	13.21	2.59	7.26	2.10	11.95	5.38	0.99	3.05	1.58	0.28	5.90	11.29	4.18	40.62	7.66	48.29

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	* * * * * SAFA * * * * * 874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	* * * * * STATE DISCRETIONARY * * * * * VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	17.13	I	13.54	2.61	7.63	23.78	I	4.47	0.0	0.22	3.10	0.0	3.32	7.79	I	5.42	54.12	9.10	63.23
MIDDLE 50%	15.72	I	3.80	3.06	42.15	49.02	I	4.26	0.0	8.30	1.66	0.0	9.96	14.22	I	8.72	87.69	5.51	93.20
HIGH 25%	8.93	I	0.10	8.75	0.69	9.54	I	6.02	0.0	8.97	0.75	0.0	9.72	15.74	I	13.83	48.04	1.47	49.52
AVERAGE	14.38	I	5.31	4.37	23.16	32.84	I	4.75	0.0	6.45	1.79	0.0	8.24	12.99	I	9.17	69.38	5.40	74.78
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	20.41	I	13.18	5.26	89.98	108.43	I	0.0	0.0	10.64	1.49	0.0	12.13	12.13	I	10.71	151.69	8.08	159.77
MIDDLE 50%	16.21	I	3.30	3.97	0.01	7.28	I	1.70	0.0	6.39	0.84	0.0	7.22	8.92	I	4.61	37.03	4.59	41.63
HIGH 25%	4.67	I	1.45	4.28	2.63	8.36	I	15.61	0.0	2.38	4.01	0.0	6.39	22.00	I	16.76	51.79	4.32	56.11
AVERAGE	14.38	I	5.31	4.37	23.16	32.84	I	4.75	0.0	6.45	1.79	0.0	8.24	12.99	I	9.17	69.38	5.40	74.78
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	7.58	I	0.0	3.13	78.10	81.24	I	4.74	0.0	15.65	1.29	0.0	16.94	21.67	I	11.06	121.55	5.36	126.91
LARGEST CC	19.46	I	0.0	0.0	208.19	208.19	I	0.0	0.0	19.95	2.95	0.0	22.90	22.90	I	9.30	259.85	10.34	270.19
SUBURBAN *	3.00	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	17.20	0.0	0.0	0.08	0.0	0.08	17.29	I	0.44	20.74	1.23	21.96
NON METRO **	17.65	I	7.65	5.11	3.70	16.45	I	4.00	0.0	3.35	2.09	0.0	5.44	9.44	I	8.99	52.53	5.67	58.20
AVERAGE	14.38	I	5.31	4.37	23.16	32.84	I	4.75	0.0	6.45	1.79	0.0	8.24	12.99	I	9.17	69.38	5.40	74.78

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY IVOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	26.82	0.31	3.81	0.29	4.41	1.59	0.50	0.28	0.52	0.30	1.61	3.20	1.59	36.02	9.65	45.67
MIDDLE 50%	12.03	0.20	6.80	0.0	7.00	2.98	0.28	0.21	0.80	0.21	1.50	4.48	0.79	24.31	3.46	27.76
HIGH 25%	6.32	26.52	21.14	0.0	47.67	2.80	0.70	1.36	1.53	0.52	4.11	6.91	17.02	77.92	2.59	80.51
AVERAGE	14.30	6.81	9.64	0.07	16.52	2.59	0.44	0.52	0.91	0.31	2.18	4.77	5.04	40.64	4.79	45.43
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	20.97	26.83	15.74	0.0	42.56	1.65	0.61	0.13	0.71	0.45	1.89	3.54	0.50	67.58	6.95	74.53
MIDDLE 50%	9.36	0.21	9.68	0.13	10.01	2.98	0.34	0.74	1.18	0.18	2.44	5.42	9.13	33.93	2.26	36.18
HIGH 25%	17.51	0.0	3.47	0.03	3.49	2.74	0.48	0.46	0.58	0.43	1.96	4.70	1.42	27.13	7.69	34.81
AVERAGE	14.30	6.81	9.64	0.07	16.52	2.59	0.44	0.52	0.91	0.31	2.18	4.77	5.04	40.64	4.79	45.43
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	3.63	0.0	10.88	0.0	10.88	3.57	0.31	0.85	0.78	0.19	2.13	5.70	13.17	33.38	0.20	33.58
LARGEST CC	0.0	0.0	11.22	0.0	11.22	4.96	0.0	0.0	0.47	0.0	0.47	5.43	0.58	17.24	0.0	17.24
SUBURBAN *	5.06	45.59	27.29	0.0	72.88	3.63	0.53	0.46	1.55	0.50	3.04	6.67	0.97	85.57	5.49	91.06
NON METRO **	23.28	0.33	4.06	0.14	4.54	1.71	0.49	0.33	0.82	0.34	1.98	3.69	1.24	32.75	7.38	40.13
AVERAGE	14.30	6.81	9.64	0.07	16.52	2.59	0.44	0.52	0.91	0.31	2.18	4.77	5.04	40.64	4.79	45.43

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
OW 25%	8.47	19.92	21.76	7.52	49.20	5.24	0.63	10.17	0.95	0.78	12.53	17.77	17.82	93.26	4.10	97.36
IDDLE 50%	4.95	7.72	26.13	16.41	50.26	1.77	0.78	2.01	0.87	0.71	4.36	6.14	16.82	78.17	2.28	80.45
IGH 25%	4.38	2.14	8.26	0.0	10.40	4.82	0.80	3.34	0.34	0.28	4.76	9.59	11.80	36.17	5.80	41.97
AVERAGE	5.69	9.38	20.57	10.08	40.03	3.40	0.75	4.38	0.75	0.62	6.50	9.91	15.81	71.44	3.62	75.06
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
OW 25%	5.81	10.79	13.15	1.39	25.33	5.16	0.78	1.52	0.36	0.29	2.94	8.10	12.84	52.09	4.84	56.94
IDDLE 50%	5.76	7.98	24.76	14.93	47.67	2.36	0.78	3.44	0.94	0.77	5.92	8.28	16.49	78.20	3.12	81.33
IGH 25%	5.43	10.75	19.61	9.09	39.45	3.73	0.66	9.13	0.79	0.65	11.23	14.96	17.43	77.27	3.38	80.65
AVERAGE	5.69	9.38	20.57	10.08	40.03	3.40	0.75	4.38	0.75	0.62	6.50	9.91	15.81	71.44	3.62	75.06
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
ENTER CITY	5.07	2.47	9.55	0.0	12.03	4.90	0.78	1.69	0.18	0.14	2.79	7.70	12.65	37.44	4.72	42.16
LARGEST CC	5.07	2.47	9.55	0.0	12.03	4.90	0.78	1.69	0.18	0.14	2.79	7.70	12.65	37.44	4.72	42.16
UBURBAN *	4.95	7.81	26.41	16.68	50.91	1.72	0.78	2.01	0.88	0.72	4.39	6.11	16.89	78.86	2.24	81.10
ON METRO **	8.47	21.45	16.25	2.58	40.29	6.50	0.63	14.07	1.05	0.86	16.61	23.11	16.27	88.14	6.29	94.43
AVERAGE	5.69	9.38	20.57	10.08	40.03	3.40	0.75	4.38	0.75	0.62	6.50	9.91	15.81	71.44	3.62	75.06

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
*INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

OURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	IVOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	8.83	18.20	10.56	0.0	28.76	0.96	0.73	0.70	1.36	0.07	2.85	3.82	0.80	42.22	7.83	50.05
MIDDLE 50%	9.61	0.23	7.86	0.0	8.09	1.61	0.63	0.26	1.04	0.38	2.31	3.92	6.21	27.33	8.28	36.11
HIGH 25%	4.83	0.0	14.22	0.0	14.22	0.83	0.80	7.09	0.25	0.11	8.24	9.08	0.60	28.72	7.75	36.47
AVERAGE	8.22	4.66	10.13	0.0	14.79	1.26	0.69	2.08	0.92	0.23	3.93	5.19	3.45	31.65	8.04	39.68
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	5.35	0.0	3.53	0.0	3.53	1.10	0.20	1.63	0.29	0.19	2.32	3.42	1.95	14.24	8.94	23.19
MIDDLE 50%	7.93	9.16	12.70	0.0	21.86	1.92	0.84	0.46	0.96	0.08	2.34	4.26	3.76	37.82	8.13	45.95
HIGH 25%	11.81	0.46	11.44	0.0	11.91	0.12	0.91	0.04	1.51	0.57	3.04	3.15	4.43	31.29	6.93	38.22
AVERAGE	8.26	4.69	10.09	0.0	14.79	1.26	0.70	0.65	0.93	0.23	2.51	3.77	3.47	30.29	8.04	38.33
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	17.58	0.70	10.42	0.0	11.12	0.61	1.92	0.0	0.0	0.81	2.73	3.34	5.50	37.53	7.29	44.83
LARGEST CC	21.31	1.18	10.15	0.0	11.33	0.18	1.51	0.0	0.0	1.36	2.87	3.05	8.06	43.75	7.46	51.20
SUBURBAN *	5.04	0.0	8.35	0.0	8.35	1.21	0.32	3.51	0.34	0.26	4.44	5.65	0.30	19.34	8.83	28.17
NON METRO **	6.55	6.15	10.29	0.0	16.44	1.41	0.47	2.35	1.20	0.10	4.13	5.54	3.40	31.93	8.10	40.03
AVERAGE	8.22	4.66	10.13	0.0	14.79	1.26	0.69	2.08	0.92	0.23	3.93	5.19	3.45	31.65	8.04	39.68

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	58.02	2.61	10.66	0.0	13.27	3.76	0.98	2.85	0.49	0.23	4.55	8.31	22.01	101.60	0.0	101.60
MIDDLE 50%	10.71	0.14	6.56	0.34	7.04	2.04	0.88	2.40	0.59	0.34	4.21	6.25	4.98	28.98	0.0	28.98
HIGH 25%	2.81	0.0	1.74	0.0	1.74	0.96	0.82	1.02	0.61	0.29	2.74	3.70	0.99	9.23	0.02	9.26
AVERAGE	20.56	0.72	6.38	0.17	7.27	2.20	0.89	2.17	0.57	0.30	3.93	6.13	8.24	42.20	0.01	42.20
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	19.99	2.63	12.88	0.67	16.18	3.21	0.87	1.57	0.46	0.31	3.21	6.42	10.59	53.18	0.0	53.18
MIDDLE 50%	25.73	0.07	6.04	0.00	6.11	2.24	0.92	2.28	0.65	0.27	4.13	6.37	9.93	48.14	0.01	48.15
HIGH 25%	10.79	0.11	0.56	0.0	0.67	1.12	0.86	2.53	0.51	0.34	4.24	5.36	2.51	19.34	0.0	19.34
AVERAGE	20.56	0.72	6.38	0.17	7.27	2.20	0.89	2.17	0.57	0.30	3.93	6.13	8.24	42.20	0.01	42.20
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	79.56	0.0	1.44	0.0	1.44	6.59	1.09	4.48	0.68	0.18	6.43	13.03	35.00	129.02	0.0	129.02
LARGEST CC	109.57	0.0	2.64	0.0	2.64	14.31	1.24	8.25	0.80	0.32	10.61	24.92	44.04	181.18	0.0	181.18
SUBURBAN *	10.69	1.12	7.35	0.27	8.74	1.96	0.86	1.79	0.54	0.32	3.51	5.47	3.26	28.17	0.01	28.18
NON METRO **	14.55	0.14	6.53	0.00	6.67	0.57	0.87	1.90	0.59	0.31	3.67	4.24	6.72	32.18	0.0	32.18
AVERAGE	20.56	0.72	6.38	0.17	7.27	2.20	0.89	2.17	0.57	0.30	3.93	6.13	8.24	42.20	0.01	42.20

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL FED EDUC	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	75.31	6.68	12.05	5.20	23.94	8.05	0.48	3.27	1.52	0.0	5.28	13.33	51.05	163.63	16.36	179.99
MIDDLE 50%	27.45	6.56	20.13	1.37	28.07	4.24	0.52	3.25	1.70	0.0	5.47	9.70	25.92	91.15	7.75	98.90
HIGH 25%	19.28	0.0	32.55	0.0	32.55	2.05	0.49	1.15	1.10	0.0	2.74	4.79	47.65	104.27	6.21	110.48
AVERAGE	37.37	4.95	21.22	1.99	28.15	4.64	0.50	2.73	1.50	0.0	4.74	9.38	37.64	112.55	9.52	122.07
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	51.00	12.84	35.22	3.09	51.16	6.45	0.48	2.73	1.34	0.0	4.55	11.01	84.03	197.19	11.40	208.59
MIDDLE 50%	26.28	2.81	22.21	0.0	25.02	2.48	0.47	2.82	1.61	0.0	4.90	7.38	22.10	80.79	7.78	88.58
HIGH 25%	45.93	1.34	5.22	4.85	11.41	7.16	0.59	2.56	1.46	0.0	4.61	11.77	22.31	91.43	11.10	102.52
AVERAGE	37.37	4.95	21.22	1.99	28.15	4.64	0.50	2.73	1.50	0.0	4.74	9.38	37.64	112.55	9.52	122.07
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	21.70	0.0	27.17	0.0	27.17	1.48	0.42	1.49	1.29	0.0	3.20	4.68	16.81	70.36	6.52	76.88
LARGEST CC	21.70	0.0	27.17	0.0	27.17	1.48	0.42	1.49	1.29	0.0	3.20	4.68	16.81	70.36	6.52	76.88
SUBURBAN *	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NON METRO **	44.11	7.08	18.66	2.84	29.58	6.00	0.54	3.26	1.60	0.0	5.40	11.40	46.58	130.67	10.81	141.48
AVERAGE	37.37	4.95	21.22	1.99	28.15	4.64	0.50	2.73	1.50	0.0	4.74	9.38	37.64	112.55	9.52	122.07

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	45.90	1.97	2.51	0.0	4.49	2.19	0.95	2.31	0.74	0.04	4.05	6.24	13.09	69.72	0.0	69.72
MIDDLE 50%	50.36	0.48	2.52	0.0	3.01	0.75	1.40	1.99	0.94	0.05	4.38	5.13	11.63	70.13	0.0	70.13
HIGH 25%	7.86	0.01	1.07	0.0	1.08	0.18	0.65	1.33	0.55	0.11	2.65	2.82	3.21	14.98	0.0	14.98
AVERAGE	38.62	0.74	2.16	0.0	2.89	0.97	1.10	1.91	0.79	0.06	3.86	4.83	9.89	56.24	0.0	56.24
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	10.73	0.66	1.26	0.0	1.92	0.76	1.89	0.69	0.37	0.13	3.08	3.85	2.10	18.59	0.0	18.59
MIDDLE 50%	34.62	0.84	2.17	0.0	3.01	1.21	0.87	2.57	0.77	0.06	4.27	5.48	10.75	53.87	0.0	53.87
HIGH 25%	75.56	0.63	3.11	0.0	3.74	0.71	0.83	1.86	1.26	0.02	3.97	4.68	16.29	100.27	0.0	100.27
AVERAGE	38.88	0.74	2.18	0.0	2.92	0.97	1.11	1.92	0.79	0.07	3.90	4.87	9.97	56.65	0.0	56.65
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	86.78	1.14	4.16	0.0	5.30	1.68	1.00	3.48	1.34	0.02	5.85	7.53	22.43	122.03	0.0	122.03
LARGEST CC	90.61	0.81	3.86	0.0	4.67	0.46	0.82	1.75	1.45	0.0	4.03	4.49	17.28	117.05	0.0	117.05
SUBURBAN *	11.59	0.12	1.28	0.0	1.40	0.41	1.28	1.01	0.43	0.08	2.81	3.22	3.22	19.43	0.0	19.43
NON METRO **	18.15	1.89	0.47	0.0	2.37	1.18	0.73	1.29	0.72	0.11	2.85	4.03	3.30	27.86	0.0	27.86
AVERAGE	38.62	0.74	2.16	0.0	2.89	0.97	1.10	1.91	0.79	0.06	3.86	4.83	9.89	56.24	0.0	56.24

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	STATE DISCRETIONARY										I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
			874A	874B	OTHER	TOTAL	I	VOC ED	TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL		I				
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	85.39	I	0.00	4.70	0.12	4.83	I	5.70	0.59	5.00	0.64	0.21	6.45	12.15	I	5.03	107.40	25.44	132.83
MIDDLE 50%	32.48	I	0.03	6.73	1.42	8.18	I	4.74	0.50	3.43	1.12	0.23	5.28	10.02	I	4.50	55.18	15.03	70.21
HIGH 25%	21.43	I	0.0	0.19	0.0	0.19	I	3.13	0.55	4.67	0.69	0.42	6.33	9.47	I	7.86	38.95	11.62	50.57
AVERAGE	42.95	I	0.02	4.59	0.74	5.34	I	4.58	0.54	4.13	0.89	0.27	5.84	10.41	I	5.47	64.18	16.78	80.96
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	62.81	I	0.00	10.31	2.02	12.33	I	5.32	0.57	2.50	1.07	0.27	4.41	9.73	I	2.31	87.19	19.59	106.77
MIDDLE 50%	38.80	I	0.03	3.42	0.47	3.92	I	4.26	0.57	5.26	0.81	0.29	6.93	11.19	I	6.10	60.01	15.70	75.71
HIGH 25%	31.37	I	0.01	1.20	0.0	1.20	I	4.47	0.43	3.51	0.88	0.24	5.06	9.53	I	7.38	49.49	16.14	65.63
AVERAGE	42.95	I	0.02	4.59	0.74	5.34	I	4.58	0.54	4.13	0.89	0.27	5.84	10.41	I	5.47	64.18	16.78	80.96
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	23.05	I	0.06	3.25	1.28	4.60	I	2.57	0.58	4.35	0.77	0.43	6.13	8.69	I	7.62	43.96	12.14	56.11
LARGEST CC	15.98	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I	2.47	0.82	6.24	1.14	0.52	8.73	11.20	I	5.53	32.71	11.05	43.76
SUBURBAN *	26.48	I	0.02	12.82	3.42	16.26	I	4.38	0.41	2.40	1.06	0.19	4.07	8.46	I	7.77	58.97	14.70	73.66
NON METRO **	52.60	I	0.00	3.46	0.05	3.51	I	5.27	0.55	4.39	0.90	0.24	6.08	11.35	I	4.33	71.80	18.70	90.50
AVERAGE	42.95	I	0.02	4.59	0.74	5.34	I	4.58	0.54	4.13	0.89	0.27	5.84	10.41	I	5.47	64.18	16.78	80.96

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	30.50	3.87	1.59	0.0	5.46	5.26	0.11	4.07	0.38	0.04	4.60	9.85	12.49	58.30	6.47	64.77
MIDDLE 50%	14.51	21.26	3.60	0.90	25.77	7.86	0.06	1.53	0.41	0.02	2.02	9.88	6.35	56.51	3.03	59.54
HIGH 25%	6.26	35.83	6.06	0.0	41.89	13.51	0.0	0.42	0.71	0.05	1.18	14.69	4.72	67.55	6.32	73.87
AVERAGE	16.44	20.56	3.71	0.45	24.72	8.62	0.06	1.89	0.48	0.03	2.45	11.08	7.48	59.72	4.71	64.43
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER A																
LOW 25%	8.43	80.08	11.17	0.0	91.25	8.34	0.02	0.0	0.11	0.02	0.16	8.50	7.09	115.28	3.33	118.61
MIDDLE 50%	14.27	0.03	0.68	0.90	1.62	9.79	0.05	3.78	0.76	0.03	4.62	14.41	5.54	35.84	5.43	41.27
HIGH 25%	28.81	2.07	2.32	0.0	4.39	6.57	0.11	0.0	0.26	0.04	0.41	6.98	11.74	51.93	4.66	56.59
AVERAGE	16.44	20.56	3.71	0.45	24.72	8.62	0.06	1.89	0.48	0.03	2.45	11.08	7.48	59.72	4.71	64.43
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	6.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.05	0.0	0.0	1.04	0.04	1.09	17.13	8.24	31.48	5.89	37.38
LARGEST CC	6.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.05	0.0	0.0	1.04	0.04	1.09	17.13	8.24	31.48	5.89	37.38
SUBURBAN *	14.27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.20	0.12	0.0	0.22	0.01	0.36	8.56	0.0	22.83	1.59	24.43
NON METRO **	17.60	23.44	4.23	0.51	28.18	7.86	0.06	2.15	0.42	0.03	2.67	10.53	7.66	63.98	4.70	68.68
AVERAGE	16.44	20.56	3.71	0.45	24.72	8.62	0.06	1.89	0.48	0.03	2.45	11.08	7.48	59.72	4.71	64.43

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	I	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	I	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	I	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																			
LOW 25%	20.86	I	0.01	2.26	0.0	2.27	I	4.97	1.01	2.42	1.73	0.19	5.36	10.33	I	16.52	49.99	6.24	56.23
MIDDLE 50%	14.15	I	0.01	4.31	0.37	4.69	I	4.11	1.10	2.19	1.51	0.26	5.07	9.18	I	14.60	42.62	5.95	48.57
HIGH 25%	3.93	I	0.0	4.94	0.00	4.95	I	3.09	1.13	2.07	1.39	0.20	4.80	7.89	I	2.10	18.88	4.83	23.71
AVERAGE	13.27	I	0.01	3.96	0.18	4.15	I	4.07	1.09	2.22	1.54	0.23	5.08	9.15	I	11.96	38.53	5.74	44.27
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																			
LOW 25%	9.34	I	0.00	5.06	0.74	5.80	I	0.81	1.06	0.47	1.46	0.13	3.12	3.93	I	7.97	27.04	7.83	34.87
MIDDLE 50%	12.06	I	0.01	3.55	0.00	3.56	I	3.88	1.10	2.72	1.51	0.18	5.51	9.39	I	12.01	37.03	5.08	42.11
HIGH 25%	19.62	I	0.0	3.68	0.0	3.68	I	7.71	1.09	2.97	1.67	0.44	6.17	13.88	I	15.83	53.01	4.98	57.99
AVERAGE	13.27	I	0.01	3.96	0.18	4.15	I	4.07	1.09	2.22	1.54	0.23	5.08	9.15	I	11.96	38.53	5.74	44.27
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																			
CENTER CITY	26.20	I	0.0	5.17	0.0	5.17	I	10.15	1.12	4.02	1.49	0.22	6.84	17.00	I	20.92	69.29	4.39	73.68
LARGEST CC	42.35	I	0.0	2.72	0.0	2.72	I	16.86	0.99	1.58	1.75	0.42	4.74	21.59	I	27.96	94.63	3.10	97.73
SUBURBAN *	6.46	I	0.01	4.61	0.42	5.04	I	2.23	1.09	1.37	1.54	0.33	4.33	6.56	I	9.10	27.16	5.47	32.63
NON METRO **	11.49	I	0.01	1.90	0.0	1.91	I	1.23	1.05	1.83	1.58	0.10	4.56	5.79	I	7.99	27.18	7.37	34.55
AVERAGE	13.27	I	0.01	3.96	0.18	4.15	I	4.07	1.09	2.22	1.54	0.23	5.08	9.15	I	11.96	38.53	5.74	44.27

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY IVOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	41.47	4.45	7.79	1.37	13.61	5.26	0.73	1.95	1.49	0.25	4.43	9.70	0.56	65.34	13.32	78.66
MIDDLE 50%	15.75	1.84	17.58	1.05	20.47	2.92	1.12	3.15	2.85	0.32	7.45	10.37	0.00	46.59	8.12	54.71
HIGH 25%	2.28	1.03	19.70	0.0	20.73	2.42	1.05	2.91	1.95	0.23	6.14	8.57	0.0	31.58	4.61	36.19
AVERAGE	18.81	2.29	15.66	0.87	18.82	3.38	1.00	2.79	2.29	0.28	6.37	9.75	0.14	47.53	8.54	56.07
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	26.15	7.66	31.51	3.46	42.62	3.73	0.73	2.26	3.45	0.30	6.75	10.48	0.08	79.34	11.96	91.30
MIDDLE 50%	19.70	0.65	11.10	0.01	11.76	3.45	1.18	2.95	1.94	0.29	6.36	9.82	0.24	41.52	8.04	49.56
HIGH 25%	9.70	0.19	8.95	0.0	9.14	2.88	0.93	2.99	1.82	0.25	6.00	8.88	0.0	27.73	6.13	33.86
AVERAGE	18.81	2.29	15.66	0.87	18.82	3.38	1.00	2.79	2.29	0.28	6.37	9.75	0.14	47.53	8.54	56.07
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	1.81	0.0	20.28	0.58	20.86	2.77	0.52	4.39	2.31	0.24	7.45	10.23	0.0	32.89	3.62	36.51
LARGEST CC	0.91	0.0	11.92	0.0	11.92	2.41	1.13	3.87	2.07	0.24	7.31	9.73	0.0	22.56	2.18	24.74
SUBURBAN *	16.55	2.03	21.63	0.34	23.99	2.51	0.85	0.52	2.97	0.40	4.74	7.26	0.07	47.88	9.25	57.12
NON METRO **	31.12	3.92	9.14	1.37	14.43	4.29	1.41	3.12	1.87	0.24	6.64	10.93	0.27	56.75	11.29	68.04
AVERAGE	18.81	2.29	15.66	0.87	18.82	3.38	1.00	2.79	2.29	0.28	6.37	9.75	0.14	47.53	8.54	56.07

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

OREGON

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	24.84	5.73	5.43	0.31	11.47	3.23	0.66	0.69	1.23	0.34	2.92	6.15	3.98	46.43	7.26	53.69
MIDDLE 50%	17.38	0.32	3.84	0.0	4.15	7.12	1.01	0.87	0.79	0.24	2.91	10.03	16.31	47.88	6.22	54.11
HIGH 25%	8.32	0.0	0.66	0.13	0.79	1.55	1.01	0.13	0.74	0.29	2.16	3.70	1.66	14.47	5.22	19.69
AVERAGE	16.98	1.59	3.44	0.11	5.14	4.75	0.92	0.64	0.89	0.28	2.72	7.48	9.56	39.17	6.23	45.40
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	20.36	0.16	2.98	0.0	3.14	1.70	1.28	0.67	1.49	0.34	3.78	5.48	1.70	30.68	6.15	36.84
MIDDLE 50%	14.03	0.30	3.73	0.22	4.25	6.80	0.79	0.58	0.82	0.20	2.39	9.19	4.26	31.72	6.20	37.92
HIGH 25%	19.52	5.60	3.34	0.0	8.93	3.72	0.82	0.73	0.43	0.36	2.34	6.06	28.04	62.55	6.38	68.93
AVERAGE	16.98	1.59	3.44	0.11	5.14	4.75	0.92	0.64	0.89	0.28	2.72	7.48	9.56	39.17	6.23	45.40
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	18.22	0.06	0.93	0.0	0.98	11.10	0.94	1.08	0.40	0.04	2.46	13.56	28.78	61.55	6.73	68.28
LARGEST CC	22.31	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.71	0.92	0.81	0.08	0.0	1.81	6.52	43.64	72.47	7.05	79.53
SUBURBAN *	16.54	0.31	1.54	0.10	1.95	2.37	0.93	0.85	1.20	0.32	2.61	4.98	2.28	25.74	5.81	31.55
NON METRO **	16.58	3.60	6.59	0.19	10.38	2.80	0.89	0.77	0.94	0.38	2.99	5.78	3.69	36.43	6.27	42.70
AVERAGE	16.98	1.59	3.44	0.11	5.14	4.75	0.92	0.64	0.89	0.28	2.72	7.48	9.56	39.17	6.23	45.40

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE III ESEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	STATE DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	21.15	0.0	1.23	0.0	1.23	0.55	0.89	0.94	1.68	0.0	3.51	4.05	2.42	28.86	6.30	35.16
MIDDLE 50%	21.48	0.13	1.00	0.03	1.16	2.76	0.82	4.15	1.10	0.0	6.07	8.83	15.24	46.71	5.38	52.09
HIGH 25%	5.19	0.13	2.45	0.57	3.16	0.51	0.77	1.60	1.71	0.0	4.07	4.58	0.36	13.29	5.79	19.08
AVERAGE	17.33	0.10	1.42	0.16	1.68	1.64	0.82	2.71	1.40	0.0	4.93	6.57	8.32	33.89	5.71	39.61
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	14.88	0.00	1.29	0.62	1.91	0.56	0.90	0.23	1.28	0.0	2.40	2.97	0.36	20.12	7.14	27.25
MIDDLE 50%	10.91	0.19	1.78	0.0	1.97	0.42	0.80	2.11	1.54	0.0	4.45	4.87	1.71	19.46	5.57	25.03
HIGH 25%	32.60	0.01	0.85	0.0	0.86	5.16	0.80	6.37	1.25	0.0	8.42	13.58	29.50	76.54	4.57	81.10
AVERAGE	17.33	0.10	1.42	0.16	1.68	1.64	0.82	2.71	1.40	0.0	4.93	6.57	8.32	33.89	5.71	39.61
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	44.04	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.02	6.13	0.82	8.27	1.50	0.0	10.60	16.72	38.25	99.04	4.55	103.59
LARGEST CC	52.94	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.23	0.88	9.67	1.09	0.0	11.63	22.86	56.01	131.80	2.80	134.61
SUBURBAN *	9.18	0.17	1.53	0.26	1.95	0.45	0.80	1.66	1.43	0.0	3.89	4.35	1.00	16.49	5.71	22.20
NON METRO **	14.00	0.02	2.31	0.06	2.39	0.69	0.87	0.59	1.24	0.0	2.70	3.39	0.59	20.38	6.63	27.01
AVERAGE	17.33	0.10	1.42	0.16	1.68	1.64	0.82	2.71	1.40	0.0	4.93	6.57	8.32	33.89	5.71	39.61

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	49.96	13.04	13.25	0.04	26.33	1.26	1.26	6.27	0.72	0.00	8.25	9.52	3.18	88.98	13.96	102.94
MIDDLE 50%	13.05	11.26	15.22	1.30	27.79	0.69	0.78	3.24	0.41	0.18	4.60	5.30	1.15	47.28	5.30	52.58
HIGH 25%	8.60	0.0	8.36	0.0	8.36	0.32	0.76	1.96	0.61	0.06	3.40	3.72	0.75	21.43	4.70	26.13
AVERAGE	21.16	8.89	13.02	0.66	22.57	0.74	0.89	3.68	0.54	0.10	5.21	5.96	1.55	51.24	7.32	58.56
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	16.22	25.81	17.59	0.81	44.21	0.65	1.03	1.22	0.30	0.18	2.74	3.38	1.46	65.28	6.34	71.62
MIDDLE 50%	12.67	5.04	16.48	0.93	22.45	0.57	0.59	3.27	0.61	0.07	4.54	5.11	1.03	41.27	4.62	45.89
HIGH 25%	43.66	0.09	2.00	0.0	2.09	0.96	1.38	7.13	0.62	0.10	9.23	10.19	2.74	58.68	13.76	72.45
AVERAGE	21.31	9.00	13.14	0.67	22.80	0.69	0.90	3.72	0.54	0.10	5.26	5.95	1.57	51.63	7.34	58.96
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	36.76	0.0	4.42	0.0	4.42	0.86	1.19	4.95	0.86	0.01	7.01	7.86	2.45	51.49	10.84	62.33
LARGEST CC	64.43	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.75	1.56	11.59	1.04	0.0	14.19	15.94	4.15	84.52	20.52	105.04
SUBURBAN *	12.58	7.08	12.19	0.93	20.20	0.62	0.73	2.65	0.35	0.09	3.83	4.45	1.21	38.45	5.33	43.78
NON METRO **	18.90	29.99	30.66	1.02	61.67	0.90	0.85	4.45	0.52	0.31	6.12	7.02	1.00	88.59	6.95	95.55
AVERAGE	21.16	8.89	13.02	0.66	22.57	0.74	0.89	3.68	0.54	0.10	5.21	5.9[illegible]	1.55	51.24	7.32	58.56

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	ALL TITLE II ESEA	OTHER TITLE III ESEA	TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	89.84	2.30	6.27	0.69	9.27	4.61	1.03	2.94	0.40	0.29	4.66	9.28	10.93	119.31	18.07	137.38
MIDDLE 50%	46.02	0.00	9.16	1.21	10.37	3.45	0.93	3.31	1.10	0.27	5.62	9.07	6.46	71.91	11.50	83.42
HIGH 25%	25.57	0.00	3.02	1.75	4.78	2.56	2.56	2.23	1.40	0.17	6.36	8.92	6.14	45.41	9.75	55.16
AVERAGE	51.86	0.58	6.90	1.22	8.70	3.52	1.36	2.95	1.00	0.25	5.57	9.08	7.50	77.14	12.70	89.84
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	76.87	2.18	6.03	2.33	10.54	4.86	1.03	0.92	0.88	0.27	3.10	7.96	3.49	98.86	10.20	109.06
MIDDLE 50%	49.00	0.06	8.95	1.27	10.28	3.17	1.08	5.13	1.26	0.30	8.37	11.54	9.61	80.44	15.94	96.38
HIGH 25%	32.58	0.01	3.67	0.0	3.68	2.89	1.06	0.63	0.59	0.13	2.41	5.30	7.26	48.82	8.74	57.55
AVERAGE	51.86	0.58	6.90	1.22	8.70	3.52	1.36	2.95	1.00	0.25	5.57	9.08	7.50	77.14	12.70	89.84
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	24.68	0.0	1.23	0.0	1.23	2.12	0.43	1.08	0.65	0.22	2.39	4.51	2.24	32.65	14.54	47.19
LARGEST CC	24.68	0.0	1.23	0.0	1.23	2.12	0.43	1.08	0.65	0.22	2.39	4.51	2.24	32.65	14.54	47.19
SUBURBAN *	35.16	0.01	16.82	3.10	19.93	2.47	1.13	1.36	1.74	0.22	5.05	7.52	7.54	70.15	8.33	78.48
NON METRO **	63.26	0.92	3.13	0.52	4.58	4.19	1.60	3.66	0.71	0.27	6.24	10.43	8.20	86.46	14.46	100.92
AVERAGE	51.86	0.58	6.90	1.22	8.70	3.52	1.36	2.95	1.00	0.25	5.57	9.08	7.50	77.14	12.70	89.84

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	49.26	24.77	4.70	1.35	30.82	2.85	0.50	0.41	1.06	0.0	1.96	4.82	27.96	112.86	9.88	122.74
MIDDLE 50%	29.89	12.68	6.03	6.89	25.60	4.75	0.72	0.80	2.03	0.02	3.57	8.32	2.88	66.69	7.26	73.96
HIGH 25%	20.01	0.53	8.60	0.0	9.13	4.79	0.78	0.57	1.24	0.0	2.59	7.38	3.84	40.36	3.30	43.67
AVERAGE	32.26	12.67	6.34	3.78	22.79	4.29	0.68	0.65	1.59	0.01	2.92	7.21	9.39	71.65	6.93	78.58
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	27.31	40.82	13.85	14.14	68.81	3.70	0.75	0.52	1.09	0.0	2.36	6.05	24.70	126.88	5.38	132.26
MIDDLE 50%	31.95	3.06	5.31	0.36	8.73	4.84	0.68	0.89	1.45	0.02	3.04	7.88	4.24	52.81	6.04	58.85
HIGH 25%	37.33	3.73	0.88	0.27	4.88	3.77	0.62	0.28	2.37	0.0	3.27	7.03	4.38	54.12	10.25	64.37
AVERAGE	32.26	12.67	6.34	3.78	22.79	4.29	0.68	0.65	1.59	0.01	2.92	7.21	9.39	71.65	6.93	78.58
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	19.06	0.0	6.65	0.0	6.65	5.28	0.89	0.0	1.78	0.0	2.67	7.96	5.49	39.15	0.0	39.15
LARGEST CC	19.06	0.0	6.65	0.0	6.65	5.28	0.89	0.0	1.78	0.0	2.67	7.96	5.49	39.15	0.0	39.15
SUBURBAN *	15.04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.50	0.48	0.0	0.24	0.0	0.72	4.22	0.11	19.37	8.81	28.18
NON METRO **	34.34	14.58	6.44	4.35	25.37	4.17	0.66	0.74	1.59	0.01	3.00	7.18	10.10	77.00	7.78	84.78
AVERAGE	32.26	12.67	6.34	3.78	22.79	4.29	0.68	0.65	1.59	0.01	2.92	7.21	9.39	71.65	6.93	78.58

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE ALL TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	60.54	0.0	2.08	0.0	2.08	4.66	0.75	0.0	0.94	0.02	1.70	6.36	15.97	84.98	17.95	102.91
MIDDLE 50%	30.50	0.52	8.20	0.0	8.72	5.16	0.78	0.0	1.40	0.01	2.18	7.35	12.41	58.98	10.60	69.58
HIGH 25%	18.36	0.0	5.34	0.0	5.34	4.36	0.80	0.0	1.34	0.02	2.16	6.52	9.51	39.73	7.14	46.87
AVERAGE	34.98	0.26	5.96	0.0	6.21	4.84	0.78	0.0	1.27	0.01	2.06	6.89	12.58	60.66	11.58	72.24
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	45.60	0.0	4.57	0.0	4.57	3.82	0.77	0.0	1.18	0.0	1.95	5.78	13.45	69.39	14.20	83.59
MIDDLE 50%	33.40	0.52	5.62	0.0	6.14	6.45	0.74	0.0	1.40	0.01	2.15	8.60	16.30	64.44	10.58	75.02
HIGH 25%	27.50	0.0	8.01	0.0	8.01	2.63	0.86	0.0	1.10	0.02	1.97	4.60	4.25	44.36	10.94	55.31
AVERAGE	34.98	0.26	5.96	0.0	6.21	4.84	0.78	0.0	1.27	0.01	2.06	6.89	12.58	60.66	11.58	72.24
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	25.56	0.0	5.82	0.0	5.82	9.67	0.74	0.0	1.87	0.0	2.61	12.28	22.36	66.02	5.39	71.40
LARGEST CC	24.82	0.0	6.10	0.0	6.10	7.02	0.90	0.0	1.73	0.0	2.63	9.64	15.54	56.10	1.70	57.80
SUBURBAN *	17.18	0.0	5.47	0.0	5.47	3.27	0.86	0.0	1.16	0.0	2.02	5.29	7.71	35.66	9.37	45.02
NON METRO **	46.03	0.46	6.21	0.0	6.67	3.82	0.75	0.0	1.10	0.02	1.88	5.70	11.26	69.66	14.71	84.37
AVERAGE	34.98	0.26	5.96	0.0	6.21	4.84	0.78	0.0	1.27	0.01	2.06	6.89	12.58	60.66	11.58	72.24

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
	47.51	0.12	6.57	0.04	6.73	0.59	0.76	0.16	0.99	0.00	1.91	2.50	22.77	79.52	17.01	96.53
	13.73	11.65	11.14	1.63	24.41	1.76	0.94	0.46	0.93	0.03	2.37	4.13	7.71	49.98	10.76	60.74
HIGH 25%	8.27	0.0	9.55	2.37	11.93	0.57	0.65	0.50	1.10	0.04	2.28	2.85	2.37	25.41	8.49	33.91
AVERAGE	20.81	5.85	9.60	1.42	16.87	1.17	0.82	0.40	0.99	0.03	2.23	3.40	10.14	51.23	11.75	62.98
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	28.81	1.71	15.41	1.98	19.10	1.55	0.76	0.64	1.68	0.02	3.11	4.66	21.38	73.95	14.58	88.53
MIDDLE 50%	16.16	0.24	8.99	1.73	10.96	1.21	0.95	0.45	0.69	0.03	2.12	3.33	6.93	37.38	10.39	47.77
HIGH 25%	22.96	1.50	4.97	0.28	6.75	0.76	0.66	0.05	0.93	0.03	1.68	2.44	5.73	37.89	11.81	49.69
AVERAGE	21.02	0.92	9.59	1.43	11.94	1.18	0.83	0.40	1.00	0.03	2.26	3.44	10.25	46.65	11.79	58.44
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	18.35	0.89	10.34	0.92	12.15	1.62	1.18	0.62	1.13	0.02	2.96	4.58	13.65	48.73	11.81	60.54
LARGEST CC	14.04	0.0	1.77	0.0	1.77	0.0	0.89	0.25	0.0	0.0	1.15	1.15	8.40	25.37	9.22	34.59
SUBURBAN *	15.23	21.59	14.75	3.74	40.09	0.72	0.58	0.47	1.26	0.04	2.35	3.07	5.68	64.07	10.11	74.18
NON METRO **	29.03	0.17	4.26	0.23	4.66	0.88	0.49	0.0	0.55	0.02	1.07	1.94	8.67	44.30	13.04	57.34
AVERAGE	20.81	5.85	9.60	1.42	16.87	1.17	0.82	0.40	0.99	0.03	2.23	3.40	10.14	51.23	11.75	62.98

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	13.46	1.59	9.14	0.0	10.73	1.42	0.84	8.05	0.90	0.05	9.83	11.25	16.16	51.60	14.37	65.97
MIDDLE 50%	9.30	0.19	17.37	0.0	17.56	0.98	0.53	1.42	0.64	0.06	2.65	3.63	8.92	39.42	9.61	49.03
HIGH 25%	4.22	4.58	39.29	0.0	43.87	0.51	0.88	0.03	0.45	0.27	1.63	2.14	1.95	52.18	11.33	63.51
AVERAGE	9.07	1.64	20.79	0.0	22.43	0.97	0.69	2.73	0.66	0.11	4.19	5.16	8.99	45.65	11.23	56.89
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	5.38	4.58	44.37	0.0	48.96	0.52	1.13	1.10	0.52	0.24	3.01	3.53	5.31	63.18	11.13	74.32
MIDDLE 50%	8.55	0.22	14.48	0.0	14.70	0.78	0.46	2.87	0.77	0.08	4.18	4.96	9.92	38.14	12.83	50.97
HIGH 25%	13.82	1.52	9.84	0.0	11.36	1.81	0.72	4.08	0.57	0.02	5.39	7.20	10.79	43.16	8.12	51.28
AVERAGE	9.07	1.64	20.79	0.0	22.43	0.97	0.69	2.73	0.66	0.11	4.19	5.16	8.99	45.65	11.23	56.89
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	15.62	0.0	18.67	0.0	18.67	1.35	0.78	3.98	0.75	0.03	5.55	6.89	20.18	61.36	8.17	69.53
LARGEST CC	17.03	0.0	9.90	0.0	9.90	2.03	0.71	4.59	0.57	0.0	5.88	7.91	13.29	48.12	4.73	52.85
SUBURBAN *	5.07	2.02	21.55	0.0	23.57	0.53	0.61	1.03	0.54	0.16	2.34	2.87	2.89	34.40	10.84	45.24
NON METRO **	13.33	2.08	20.73	0.0	22.81	1.73	0.81	5.81	0.88	0.05	7.55	9.28	14.42	59.84	14.74	74.58
AVERAGE	9.07	1.64	20.79	0.0	22.43	0.97	0.69	2.73	0.66	0.11	4.19	5.16	8.99	45.65	11.23	56.89

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	AREA 874A	AREA 874B	AREA OTHER	AREA TOTAL	STATE DISCRETIONARY VOC ED	TITLE II ESEA	TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	26.05	0.0	2.28	0.0	2.28	3.88	0.51	8.06	0.52	0.01	9.10	12.98	0.35	41.66	10.75	52.41
MIDDLE 50%	16.60	0.15	2.35	0.0	2.51	2.03	0.52	5.82	1.01	0.63	7.98	10.01	1.05	30.17	8.05	38.22
HIGH 25%	6.14	0.0	1.04	0.0	1.04	5.13	0.24	0.92	0.22	0.06	1.45	6.58	0.93	14.69	7.00	21.69
AVERAGE	16.35	0.08	2.01	0.0	2.08	3.27	0.45	5.15	0.69	0.34	6.63	9.90	0.84	29.17	8.46	37.63
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	12.69	0.0	2.50	0.0	2.50	2.37	0.29	5.09	0.36	0.04	5.79	8.16	0.64	23.99	5.82	29.82
MIDDLE 50%	19.11	0.19	2.26	0.0	2.45	2.78	0.52	7.11	0.65	0.37	8.66	11.44	1.65	34.66	7.45	42.11
HIGH 25%	23.65	0.0	2.01	0.0	2.01	5.26	0.75	6.76	0.72	0.49	8.71	13.98	0.33	39.97	11.53	51.51
AVERAGE	18.64	0.10	2.26	0.0	2.35	3.30	0.52	6.52	0.60	0.32	7.96	11.26	1.07	33.32	8.06	41.38
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LARGEST CC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUBURBAN *	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NON METRO **	16.35	0.08	2.01	0.0	2.08	3.27	0.45	5.15	0.69	0.34	6.63	9.90	0.84	29.17	8.46	37.63
AVERAGE	16.35	0.08	2.01	0.0	2.08	3.27	0.45	5.15	0.69	0.34	6.63	9.90	0.84	29.17	8.46	37.63

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	59.23	0.0	2.06	0.0	2.06	15.40	0.29	4.14	1.41	0.36	6.20	21.60	8.91	91.80	15.61	107.40
MIDDLE 50%	28.75	0.00	22.80	3.19	26.00	0.13	0.16	2.06	0.85	0.09	3.16	3.29	6.34	64.38	11.78	76.16
HIGH 25%	6.73	0.38	21.98	1.67	24.04	1.91	0.10	2.44	0.82	0.06	3.44	5.35	3.46	39.58	8.05	47.63
AVERAGE	30.86	0.10	17.41	2.01	19.52	4.39	0.18	2.68	0.98	0.15	3.99	8.38	6.27	65.03	11.80	76.84
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	44.93	0.0	14.81	1.89	16.69	6.70	0.39	5.37	1.62	0.33	7.72	14.42	9.15	85.20	14.86	100.06
MIDDLE 50%	28.73	0.02	19.21	2.67	21.90	5.05	0.17	1.60	0.91	0.08	2.75	7.80	4.40	62.85	10.86	73.71
HIGH 25%	21.05	0.35	16.42	0.82	17.59	0.77	0.0	2.14	0.49	0.11	2.74	3.50	7.11	49.25	10.64	59.88
AVERAGE	30.86	0.10	17.41	2.01	19.52	4.39	0.18	2.68	0.98	0.15	3.99	8.38	6.27	65.03	11.80	76.84
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	31.76	0.01	29.36	4.04	33.41	1.10	0.14	2.49	0.81	0.18	3.62	4.72	9.95	79.84	11.63	91.47
LARGEST CC	49.39	0.0	46.46	0.0	46.46	0.0	0.0	2.63	0.92	0.0	3.55	3.55	20.63	120.04	15.64	135.68
SUBURBAN *	13.78	0.38	36.09	3.38	39.85	1.00	0.18	3.45	1.15	0.05	4.84	5.85	3.82	63.30	8.98	72.28
NON METRO **	38.63	0.0	3.37	0.49	3.86	7.42	0.20	2.38	0.98	0.18	3.74	11.16	5.85	59.51	13.23	72.73
AVERAGE	30.86	0.10	17.41	2.01	19.52	4.39	0.18	2.68	0.98	0.15	3.99	8.38	6.27	65.03	11.80	76.84

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY ALL TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE II NDEA	OTHER TITLE V-A NDEA	OTHER TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	19.06	6.95	13.47	1.74	22.16	2.24	1.25	1.50	0.0	0.57	3.32	5.55	36.65	83.43	7.87	91.30
MIDDLE 50%	11.34	0.94	11.01	0.07	12.02	2.61	1.18	1.73	0.0	0.76	3.67	6.27	24.97	54.61	5.67	60.29
HIGH 25%	3.72	0.12	7.89	21.20	29.21	1.99	2.50	2.27	0.0	1.68	6.45	8.43	12.00	53.36	4.95	58.31
AVERAGE	11.37	2.24	10.85	5.77	18.86	2.36	1.52	1.81	0.0	0.94	4.27	6.63	24.65	61.50	6.04	67.55
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	6.93	0.60	14.89	22.13	37.63	2.36	1.29	0.92	0.0	0.94	3.15	5.51	28.11	78.19	5.40	83.59
MIDDLE 50%	12.38	2.72	11.18	0.0	13.91	2.59	1.87	2.16	0.0	1.04	5.07	7.66	23.55	57.49	6.63	64.12
HIGH 25%	13.78	2.90	6.13	0.95	9.98	1.91	1.07	2.00	0.0	0.73	3.79	5.70	23.38	52.84	5.51	58.35
AVERAGE	11.37	2.24	10.85	5.77	18.86	2.36	1.52	1.81	0.0	0.94	4.27	6.63	24.65	61.50	6.04	67.55
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	15.77	0.21	9.21	0.0	9.42	3.00	1.17	2.26	0.0	0.65	4.08	7.07	29.65	61.91	6.36	68.29
LARGEST CC	14.95	0.39	6.14	0.0	6.53	1.61	0.43	3.38	0.0	0.22	4.04	5.65	29.55	56.68	5.61	62.29
SUBURBAN *	5.64	0.37	8.00	0.44	8.82	2.11	2.00	1.72	0.0	1.23	4.95	7.06	16.66	38.18	5.21	43.38
NON METRO **	16.11	5.96	15.61	16.41	37.98	2.28	1.13	1.65	0.0	0.74	3.52	5.80	31.97	91.86	6.92	98.78
AVERAGE	11.37	2.24	10.85	5.77	18.86	2.36	1.52	1.81	0.0	0.94	4.27	6.63	24.65	61.50	6.04	67.55

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

WEST VIRGINIA

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	VOC ED	STATE DISCRETIONARY TITLE II ESEA	ALL TITLE III ESEA	OTHER TITLE III NDEA	TITLE V-A NDEA	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT															
LOW 25%	69.36	0.05	2.23	0.0	2.28	35.13	0.36	0.0	1.45	0.06	1.87	37.00	23.35	131.99	20.63	152.63
MIDDLE 50%	38.49	0.0	1.79	0.0	1.79	9.02	0.29	1.26	1.45	0.11	3.12	12.14	12.15	64.56	15.30	79.86
HIGH 25%	20.06	0.0	1.74	0.0	1.74	4.63	0.11	6.66	1.52	0.21	8.50	13.12	11.39	46.32	20.76	67.08
AVERAGE	41.60	0.01	1.89	0.0	1.90	14.45	0.27	2.29	1.47	0.12	4.15	18.60	14.76	76.86	18.00	94.86
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA															
LOW 25%	69.08	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.34	0.18	0.46	1.15	0.09	1.88	12.23	18.04	99.34	19.64	118.98
MIDDLE 50%	36.95	0.02	3.04	0.0	3.07	20.95	0.41	1.34	1.45	0.13	3.81	24.77	14.46	79.25	18.57	97.82
HIGH 25%	23.41	0.0	1.46	0.0	1.46	5.56	0.07	5.05	1.82	0.14	7.08	12.64	12.07	49.59	15.21	64.80
AVERAGE	41.60	0.01	1.89	0.0	1.90	14.45	0.27	2.29	1.47	0.12	4.15	18.60	14.76	76.86	18.00	94.86
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION															
CENTER CITY	18.64	0.0	1.77	0.0	1.77	5.58	0.15	0.0	2.60	0.04	2.78	8.37	7.40	36.18	17.37	53.55
LARGEST CC	22.07	0.0	3.37	0.0	3.37	6.45	0.05	0.0	2.53	0.0	2.58	9.03	10.34	44.81	13.58	58.39
SUBURBAN *	26.63	0.0	1.14	0.0	1.14	6.30	0.16	7.66	1.26	0.25	9.34	15.64	15.47	58.88	12.95	71.83
NON METRO **	48.97	0.02	2.11	0.0	2.13	17.94	0.31	1.15	1.36	0.10	2.92	20.87	15.61	87.57	19.47	107.04
AVERAGE	41.60	0.01	1.89	0.0	1.90	14.45	0.27	2.29	1.47	0.12	4.15	18.60	14.76	76.86	18.00	94.86

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE. U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

WISCONSIN

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	IVOC ED	STATE ALL TITLE II ESEA	STATE ALL TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	20.71	0.22	3.11	0.05	3.38	3.45	1.30	0.54	1.89	0.26	4.00	7.45	2.05	33.59	9.98	43.58
MIDDLE 50%	15.48	0.01	1.25	0.18	1.44	2.42	1.25	2.48	1.77	0.36	5.86	8.28	5.41	30.60	5.97	36.58
HIGH 25%	4.49	0.0	0.88	0.0	0.88	2.97	0.68	1.69	1.68	0.40	4.45	7.42	1.22	14.02	6.08	20.10
AVERAGE	14.04	0.06	1.62	0.10	1.78	2.81	1.12	1.80	1.78	0.35	5.04	7.86	3.52	27.20	7.00	34.21
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%	14.51	0.19	1.11	0.31	1.61	3.28	1.30	0.25	2.23	0.32	4.09	7.37	1.83	25.32	8.93	34.25
MIDDLE 50%	13.90	0.02	1.96	0.04	2.03	2.48	1.16	2.27	1.67	0.35	5.45	7.93	3.73	27.59	6.61	34.20
HIGH 25%	13.85	0.01	1.46	0.0	1.47	3.01	0.87	2.40	1.55	0.36	5.17	8.18	4.81	28.32	5.86	34.18
AVERAGE	14.04	0.06	1.62	0.10	1.78	2.81	1.12	1.80	1.78	0.35	5.04	7.86	3.52	27.20	7.00	34.21
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	20.04	0.02	2.00	0.0	2.02	2.33	1.32	4.88	1.66	0.35	8.21	10.54	9.17	41.77	5.00	46.77
LARGEST CC	29.08	0.04	2.06	0.0	2.11	2.24	1.47	4.58	2.10	0.31	8.46	10.70	12.86	54.75	5.75	60.50
SUBURBAN *	5.77	0.0	0.16	0.07	0.23	2.66	0.83	0.39	1.47	0.38	3.06	5.72	1.23	12.95	6.63	19.59
NON METRO **	16.33	0.14	2.54	0.20	2.89	3.31	1.21	0.63	2.12	0.31	4.28	7.59	1.16	27.97	8.82	36.79
AVERAGE	14.04	0.06	1.62	0.10	1.78	2.81	1.12	1.80	1.78	0.35	5.04	7.86	3.52	27.20	7.00	34.21

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.

SOURCE: U.S. CENSUS-ELSEGIS 1970 DATA BASE (SUPPLEMENTED).

WYOMING

FEDERAL EXPENDITURES (DOLLARS PER PUPIL)

	TITLE I ESEA	SAFA 874A	SAFA 874B	SAFA OTHER	SAFA TOTAL	STATE VOC ED	STATE TITLE II ESEA	STATE ALL TITLE III ESEA	DISCRETIONARY OTHER TITLE III NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TITLE V-A NDEA	DISCRETIONARY TOTAL	STATE TOTAL	ALL OTHER	TOTAL FED EDUC	SCHOOL LUNCH	TOTAL FED EDUC PLUS SCHOOL LUNCH
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME OF DISTRICT																
LOW 25%	20.10	2.52	0.0	1.20	3.73	1.13	0.59	4.55	1.70	0.0	6.84	7.98	0.08	31.88	6.29	38.17
MIDDLE 50%	11.79	0.0	0.42	0.68	1.10	0.07	0.50	3.86	1.51	0.40	6.27	6.34	0.64	19.87	4.02	23.89
HIGH 25%	9.68	0.44	4.34	0.0	4.78	3.32	1.12	5.88	0.30	0.0	7.30	10.63	0.0	25.08	2.04	27.12
AVERAGE	13.34	0.74	1.29	0.64	2.67	1.15	0.67	4.54	1.25	0.20	6.67	7.82	0.34	24.17	4.10	28.27
EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE PER ADA																
LOW 25%																
MIDDLE 50%	(* * * * PROPERTY VALUE UNAVAILABLE FOR THIS STATE * * * *)															
HIGH 25%																
AVERAGE																
DEGREE OF URBANIZATION																
CENTER CITY	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LARGEST CC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OTHER *	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NON METRO **	13.34	0.74	1.29	0.64	2.67	1.15	0.67	4.54	1.25	0.20	6.67	7.82	0.34	24.17	4.10	28.27
AVERAGE	13.34	0.74	1.29	0.64	2.67	1.15	0.67	4.54	1.25	0.20	6.67	7.82	0.34	24.17	4.10	28.27

*INCLUDES ALL NON-CENTER-CITY METROPOLITAN AREAS.
**INCLUDES RURAL AND ALL OTHER NON METROPOLITAN AREAS.